

Meeting of the South Bank Academies Board

4.00 - 6.00 pm on Tuesday, 11 July 2017 in South Bank Engineering UTC, 56 Brixton Hill SW2 1QS

Agenda

| | Agenda | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| No. | Item | Pages | Presenter |
| 1. | Welcome and apologies | | DP |
| 2. | Declarations of interest | | DP |
| 3. | Minutes of previous meeting | 3 - 8 | DP |
| 4. | Matters arising | 9 - 10 | DP |
| 5. | Chair's Business: CEO Recruitment | | DP |
| | Items to discuss | | |
| 6. | CEO's Report | 11 - 14 | RB |
| 7. | MAT marketing strategy | 15 - 22 | RB |
| 8. | May financial management report | 23 - 26 | DS |
| | Items to approve | | |
| 9. | MAT budget 2017/2018 | 27 - 44 | DS |
| | Items to note | | |
| 10. | MAT risk register | 45 - 48 | DS |
| 11. | UTC assurance visit report | 49 - 50 | RB |
| 12. | Board Committees and LGBs report of decisions | 51 - 54 | MB |
| 13. | Governance effectiveness assessment summary | 55 - 56 | MB |
| 14. | Any other business | | DP |

Date of next meeting 4.00 pm on Thursday, 28 September 2017

Members: David Phoenix (Chair), Rao Bhamidimarri, Adam Crossley, Douglas Denham St Pinnock,

Richard Flatman, Tony Giddings, Steve McGuire, Richard Parrish and James Stevenson

Apologies: Steve McGuire

In attendance: Michael Broadway, Dan Smith and Pervena Singh (Clerk)



Agenda Item 3



CONFIDENTAIL

Minutes of the meeting of the South Bank Academies Board held at 4.00 pm on Thursday, 30 March 2017 University Academy of Engineering - Trafalgar Street, London SE17 2TP

Present

Richard Flatman (Chair for the meeting)

Adam Crossley

Douglas Denham St Pinnock

James Stevenson Rao Bhamidimarri

Apologies

David Phoenix (Chair)

Richard Parrish Steve McGuire Tony Giddings

In attendance

Dan Smith

Michael Broadway (Company Secretary)

Pervena Singh (Clerk)

1. Welcome and apologies

The Chair welcomed directors to the meeting. The above apologies were noted.

The directors had an informative training session led by Andrew Dodge from Southwark Council on the role of a Multi-Academy Trust (MAT), and the responsibilities of directors in an Ofsted inspection.

2. Declarations of interest

No directors declared an interest in any item on the agenda.

3. Minutes of previous meeting

The Board approved the minutes of the meeting of 16 December 2016.

4. Matters arising

The Board discussed the pending matters from the previous meeting;

Action 1 - Annual Business plan for the Board to be further developed in conjunction with the governance effectiveness self-assessment.

Action 5 - CEO's job description currently under review, final version to be shared with the Board when made available.

Action 6 and 7 - South Bank Academies MAT strategic plan and marketing strategy to be presented to the Board at the next meeting in July 2017.

Action 15 - Lesley Morrison to be invited for an informal interview with the Chair and one other director in April.

Action 16 - Business Manager to circulate the revised Financial Control policy to the Board as a matter of urgency.

All other matters arising had been completed.

5. CEO's Report

The Board was presented with the CEO's report, which included detail on Ofsted preparation at the University Academy of Engineering (Academy), the recruitment of a permanent Principal for the Academy, and an update on the permanent school building for South Bank Engineering UTC (UTC).

The Board discussed the Academy's Ofsted readiness and noted that all teaching staff and non-teaching staff have had relevant Ofsted training. In addition, the school holds regular staff meetings to discuss any matters that have occurred within the week. The Board noted that the local governors have been circulated fact sheets which include relevant headlines of the school. It was agreed that this would be circulated to directors.

The Board was provided with an update on the UTC's temporary building, and the difficulty of recruiting students to the UTC, in line with other UTC's which is largely due to the year 10 admissions point.

The Board noted that consideration into changing the formation of the school from a UTC to a standard academy school may need to be further discussed in future years, should the difficulties of recruitment continue. Recruitment to be remarked as a high risk on the MAT risk register

The Board noted that the permanent UTC building is scheduled to be completed in September, and noted the impact to new students in the event of a delay. A contingency plan was being developed. The Board requested a progress update ahead of the July 2017 Board meeting.

The Board noted that £1m was available for equipment for the permanent accommodation and that the LSBU procurement department was advising on this, to ensure due procurement process was followed.

6. Chair's business - Appointment of Academy Principal

The CEO discussed the process of recruitment for the new Principal of the Academy. The Board noted that a two day rigorous assessment process had been developed including preparing a vision statement, group scenario role play, setting a yearly plan for the school, teaching a class, and a formal interview.

The CEO confirmed that the panel, made up of the Chair, CEO, local governors (with relevant Headteacher experience) and a representative from London South Bank University's Human Resources department, unanimously agreed on the successful candidate out of the five shortlisted.

Having considered the experience of the chosen candidate, and noting the recommendation of the panel, the Board agreed to ratify the appointment of Mr John Taylor, current Deputy Headteacher of the London Nautical School.

As part of the appointment process, the Board discussed the remuneration package for Mr Taylor. The CEO informed the Board of the proposed starting salary, and how it compared to similar roles in South London. A note with further details would be circulated to directors. The salary will be subject to performance based increment as determined by the Remuneration Committee.

The Board noted that in future remuneration of the Principals would be delegated to the Remuneration Committee. The Board noted that the Remuneration Committee had been established and requested that a Remuneration Committee meeting be convened imminently to approve the Remuneration Policy. The Chair of the Remuneration Committee requested detail of both Principals remuneration packages including pension, to inform discussions.

After due consideration, the Board agreed to ratify the salary for Mr Taylor.

The Board thanked the current Interim Principal, Gary Nelson for his contribution and support towards the improvement of the Academy. The Board commended Mr Nelson on his efforts to improve behaviour at the school and improve the standards of learning and teaching.

The Board also requested and was advised that there were no matters arising from the appointment process that should concern the Board regarding the current Interim Principal remaining in post until Mr Taylor is appointed.

7. February 2017 financial management report

The Board discussed the February 2016 consolidated financial management report, which forecasted a surplus of £295k. The Business Manager confirmed an increase in the surplus is due to staff moving out of the pension scheme.

The Board noted that the UTC has lost some of its students and as a result a provision for clawback has been provided for within the forecast. An additional £200k p.a. will be received from the Department for Education (DfE) to the UTC. The amount is likely to be available over the next three years, subject to meeting KPI's.

The Business Manager reported that next year's budget would be prepared in May 2017 to be reviewed by the Board at its July 2017 meeting, before the budget is submitted to DfE. The Board noted that the impact of the new funding formula would be known soon.

The Board noted that the original budget figures had been revised downwards to account for the impact of the clawback, resulting in a deficit budget and a positive variance for the year. The Board requested that this be adjusted for future reports in order that comparison is made against the original budget agreed.

8. MAT risk register

The Board discussed the MAT risk register which had been reviewed by the Audit Committee at its meeting on 9 March 2017.

The Board requested that specific reference to the risk surrounding student recruitment at the UTC is included on the recruitment risk.

The Board agreed that local school risk registers should be developed for regular review by the Local Governing Bodies of each school and by the MAT Audit Committee.

The most recent local school risk registers to be presented to the MAT Audit Committee at its next meeting in June 2017.

9. School assurance visits

The Board discussed the report on the assurance visit to the Academy by two directors on 14 March 2017. It was reported that the visit was positive and productive.

A similar visit to the UTC was being planned for the summer term. Follow up visit would take place in the autumn term 2017.

10. Governance effectiveness self-assessment

The Board noted the governance effectiveness self-assessment paper, and noted that questionnaires will be made available to directors and local governors in April 2017. Conclusions and recommendations from the survey will be made available at the July 2017 Board meeting.

11. Appointment process for directors and local governors

The Board noted the appointment process for directors and local governors, and agreed the resolution to approve the delegation of authority to the local governing bodies of each school for appointing co-opted local governors. Co-opted appointments are to be in accordance with the process of appointment as sent out in the report.

12. Local governing bodies report on decisions

The Board noted the report on decisions at each of the local governing bodies.

13. **Any other business**

In light of the Ofsted requirements discussed at the training session held before the Board meeting, the Board requested the chance to review the South Bank Academies MAT website. It was reported that the website content was currently under review by the CEO, and a link to the website will be sent to all directors before the website is made live.

The Board considered the need to network with other MATs, and were told of an arising collaboration opportunity with Aldridge Foundation Entrepreneurial Academy School.

> Date of next meeting 4.00 pm, on Tuesday, 11 July 2017

| | | (Chair) |
|--|--|---------|

Confirmed as a true record



SOUTH BANK ACADEMIES BOARD - THURSDAY, 30 MARCH 2017 ACTION SHEET

| Agenda No | Agenda/Decision Item | Action | Officer | Action Status |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5. | CEO's Report | A progress update on the completion date for the UTC permanent building, to be provided to the Board ahead of its July 2017 meeting. | Rao Bhamidimarri | Update included within CEO report. |
| 6. | Chair's business - Appointment of Academy Principal | A note on the proposed salary of the new Academy Principal to be circulated to directors following the board meeting. | Rao Bhamidimarri | Completed, email sent on the 5 April 2017. |
| | | The Remuneration Committee to convene a meeting to discuss South Bank Academies Remuneration Policy. | Pervena Singh | Completed, to be held before the Board meeting on 11 July 2017. |
| 7. | February 2017 financial management report | Next year's budget to be prepared in May 2017, and reviewed by the Board at its July 2017 meeting. | Dan Smith | Completed, included on agenda. |
| | | A monthly financial management report to be sent to directors. Reports to be circulated in the 3rd week of the following month. | Dan Smith | Pending, to start on the appointment of the new Business Manager. |
| 8. | MAT risk register | Local school risk registers to be developed, for review at the schools local governing bodies and the Audit Committee. | Dan Smith | Completed, included discussed at Audit Committee on 6 July 2017. |
| | | Risk register to include reference to the risk surrounding recruitment at the UTC. | Dan Smith | Completed. |
| 13. | Any other business | Directors to be circulated a link to view South Bank Academies web site before site is made live. | Rao Bhamidimarri | Pending, website still under review, link to be sent in due course. |

FRIDAY, 16 DECEMBER 2016 - ACTION SHEET

| Agenda No | Agenda/Decision Item | Action | Officer | Action Status |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Welcome and apologies | Annual business plan for the Board to be developed. | Pervena Singh | In progress, draft circulated for comment. |
| 5. | Chief Executive's Report | Directors to have opportunity to review CEO job description. | David Phoenix | Chair to provide a verbal update to the Board on 11 July 2017. |
| 6. | Draft Strategic Plan | A revised version of the MAT strategic plan incorporating KPIs to be provided to the Board. | Rao Bhamidimarri | In progress – to be presented to the Board when available. |
| 7. | UTC & the Academy Development Plan | Marketing strategy for each school. | Rao Bhamidimarri | Completed, included on 11 July agenda. |
| 16. | Policies | Amended version of the financial control policy to be circulated to directors by email. | Dan Smith | Pending, Business Manager to circulate following review by LSBU Financial Controller. |

Page 10



| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Paper title: | Chief Executive's Report |
| Board/Committee: | South Bank Academies Board Meeting |
| Date of meeting: | 11 July 2017 |
| Author: | Rao Bhamidimarri |
| Purpose: | To note |
| Recommendation: | The meeting is requested to note the CEO's Report. |

The Trust

I met with the staff at both the UTC and the Academy to brief them on the harmonised staffing structure and pay scales. Following the meetings individual letters were issued to each of the staff members clarifying their new pay points and salary ranges.

Academy

Further to my last report, Ofsted inspected the Academy on 9th and 10th May 2017. The two day process was rigorous and all encompassing. The feedback provided by the Lead Inspector at the end of the inspection was positive and indicated a grade of "Good". In the meeting with the LGB governors, the Lead Inspector asked that a sub-committee for student welfare and safeguarding be established to ensure that there would be on-going and detailed scrutiny of safeguarding and student pastoral support. We subsequently received a draft report for comment. We proposed number of changes for accuracy of fact. We received the final report on 4th July and the report confirms the indicated outcome of "Good" across all areas. In addition, there are a number of positive comments about the school throughout the report. The report will be published on the Ofsted website by 10th July.

UTC

The contractors have arranged for sub-contractors to move the offices and equipment from the temporary accommodation into the new building for 1st September. So we are cautiously optimistic that the new building may become available earlier than the contractual handover date. However the project progress suggests that the building will not be ready before the agreed handover date of 18th September. In the meantime, the temporary accommodation has been deteriorating, resulting in water ingress and damage to the wooden flooring. We are working with the contractors to ensure that the business continuity is unaffected until the end of this term.

Staff recruitment continues to be a challenge, in particular at the UTC, where three staff accepted the offers only to pull out subsequently. We are working to put in place temporary arrangements until we advertise and to recruit permanent staff.

Procurement of equipment, both ICT and specialist science and engineering equipment is on-going.

The Trust undertook an assurance visit to the UTC and a report on this presented separately.

Business Manager / Chief Financial Officer

Dan Smith the current Business Manager and CFO to South Bank Academies and the two schools resigned from his role on 9th May 2017. Since this time we have undertaken in a strong recruitment drive, with a number of high calibre of applicants received. From the 12 applications we shortlisted five.

We held formal interviews on 28th June 2017 with a panel of five, which included the CEO, one local governor, Director of Planning, Information and Reporting from LSBU and an HR representative from LSBU.

Following an in-depth decision the panel agreed on the appointment of Claire Viner, who will take up the post on 1 August 2017. She is currently the Business Manager and Finance Director at ST Paul's Academy and has extensive experience in school business management, finance and DfE/EFSA and Company House reporting.

Learning and Teaching

The Learning and Teaching across both schools is now much improved with schools implementing robust processes for monitoring of student progression and implementation of appropriate interventions. The Academy in particular made significant improvement as validated by external reviews and Ofsted inspectors. The UTC is facing some challenges with the retention of teaching staff and this appears to slow the rate of improvement in attainment of students in certain subjects.

Behaviour for learning

We have made substantive progress in behaviour for learning at the Academy. Behaviour outside the class room also improved significantly. The learning environment at the UTC allows excellent student-staff interaction and a secure environment for students to learn, although the temporary accommodation does not offer comprehensive learning resources.

The Principal of the UTC decided to permanently exclude a student due to persistent misbehaviour and disobedience. This followed several fixed term exclusions and meetings with parents. Staff at the Academy are working towards a managed transfer of a student, who breached the behaviour policy and procedure.

Student Recruitment

Student recruitment at the UTC remains our main a challenge. We have currently 238 applications for the UTC, but only 50 for Year 10 so far. The applications trend suggests that the recruitment will be in excess of numbers approved by the DfE. The school however will receive funding for the additional students recruited in the new school year..

The recruitment at the Academy on the other hand is positive with 134 into year 7 confirmed so far. We have received 39 Year 12 applications and the applicants are currently being interviewed.

DfE and Ofsted Inspections

The Academy was inspected by Ofsted on 9th and 10th May. As reported earlier, the provisional outcome is a rating of "Good" across all areas.

John Taylor, the Principal Designate, is meeting with the staff and the senior leadership team in preparation for his arrival on 1st September. I have been meeting with him regularly to brief him on the Trust, our objectives and our ambitions for the Academy.

Term 3 DfE inspection will take place on 23rd June.

LSBU Links

Three students, one from the UTC and two from the Academy made presentations at the Staff conference and these were well received by the audience. Two female students also spoke at the International Women's Day even at LSBU. Two students spoke at the LSBU Family of Institutions Launch event.

Student ambassadors are visiting the Academy and the UTC for mentoring sessions including advice on UCAS process and progression to higher education.

Staff from the School of Engineering are organising workshops on App development at both schools.

The Academy of Sport is providing student marshals for the Academy/UTC sports day on 7th July.





| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Paper title: | MAT Marketing Strategy |
| Board/Committee | South Bank Academies Board |
| Date of meeting: | 11 July 2017 |
| Author: | Rao Bhamidimarri, CEO |
| Purpose: | Discussion |
| Recommendation: | The meeting is requested to discuss the proposed MAT marketing strategy. |

Introduction

South Bank Academies, a multi-academy trust, sponsored by London South Bank University, provides innovative and professionally focused educational opportunities with a broadly-based curriculum and co-curricular programmes. The Trust is committed to educating and supporting the development of young people in South London so that they are most the sought after by good universities and world leading employers. Our educational offer focusses on Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts and Mathematics not only to prepare young people for highly rewarding professional careers, but also to foster creativity to ensure on-going career success.

The Trust currently operates two academies, the University Academy of Engineering South Bank, and the South Bank Engineering UTC.

The Academy is a unique secondary school for 11 to 18 year olds bringing STEM and Arts together. The learning and teaching adopt an innovative approach by encouraging students to set the agenda for their own learning. Thematic learning through "University Challenges" enables students to participate in curriculum development. Yet the outcomes are the national examinations at GCSE and A levels. Our teaching staff play a critical role as teachers as well as mentors.

Our UTC offers innovative curriculum and learning & teaching in partnership with employers and businesses to ensure pathways for Sixth Form students into higher education, apprenticeships and employment. Engagement of students in learning and teaching is central to our educational vision to make learning an engaging and enjoyable experience for all students, while cultivating professional ethos. Project based learning supported by employers, is a key to the engaged learning at the UTC.

Our schools are well equipped with excellent science and engineering laboratories and workshops, and learning spaces. The students and staff have full access to London South Bank University's advanced science and technology facilities as well as the University Library.

We aspire for high achievement by all our students and therefore offer individualised learning support. In addition to academic and applied learning, we promote professionalism through cultivation of "soft skills", such as communication, working with other people, valuing time

and money etc. so our students not only excel academically, but are also prepared to succeed when they enter higher education or employment.

Recruitment of students at the Academy is similar to other secondary schools, as Year 7 admissions are the responsibility of the Local Authority, but the UTC controls its own student recruitment into Year 10 and Year 12.

This document describes the marketing objectives, strengths and areas to improve and marketing strategies, activities and their effectiveness.

This document shows the South Bank Academies (Trust) marketing objectives, the markets in which the Trust and Trust's schools operate and the channels the Trust uses to deliver messages and information. Many of the marketing strategies will be executed by the individual schools with oversight and support from the Trust.

Marketing Objectives

Key marketing objectives are:

- To continue to raise the profile of the Trust and its schools
- To ensure that student recruitment within each of the schools of the Trust remains strong and that the schools are first choice within each of their catchment communities
- To promote the distinctiveness of the educational ethos and provision
- To promote and celebrate the achievement of the students and staff of the Trust schools
- To attract industry and business employer partners to support the Trust and its school by mentoring students, offering work placement opportunities and apprenticeships.

The Market

Both schools are located in densely populated areas of South London in which there are already established educational institutions including strong Post 16 offers. Although there are a large number of students there is currently no under provision in either of the boroughs.

Both areas have a high mix of ethnicity and culture. Student deprivation measured by Free School meals and Pupil Premium is higher than the national average.

Both schools have the advantage of offering STEM education focussing on employability and University aspirations. The Trust and the schools are sponsored by London South Bank University, which offers a range of advantages to the students and staff of the schools including access to the University Library, science and engineering laboratories and support services.

Strengths and Areas to Improve

Each school will have its own characteristics, strengths and areas for improvement. Identifying will assist the process of identifying the message and the channel in which to present it. Please find below the key strengths, areas of improvement, opportunities and threats faced.

The Trust

- Strengths Location, University-led, career path ways for students, expertise, economies
 of scale
- Areas of improvement University schools collaboration, increase in number of schools, profile of the Trust,
- Opportunities Funding changes making individual academies unviable, creation of a novel educational eco-system, service to industry and business, apprenticeships
- Threats Fair funding formula, continuing growth in free schools, viability of UTCs

University Academy of Engineering South Bank

- Strengths University sponsor, creative curriculum, STEAM focus, business links
- Areas of Improvement Reputation for distinctive offer, evidence of excellence through data and impact, professionalism, links with the university
- Opportunities Catchment with rapid economic growth, employability and skills focus, priorities for STEM
- Threats New school, Strong local education offer, Free School movement, reduced funding, risks with novel educational ethos.

South Bank Engineering UTC

- Strengths University sponsor, project based learning, STEM focus, business and industry links.
- Areas of Improvement Promotion of the new school, professional ethos, data for evidence of achievement, links with the university
- Opportunities Sub-regional catchment, new purpose designed building, and industry standard equipment and facilities, apprenticeship agenda
- Threats Strong local education offer, non-standard (Year 10) admissions, Free School movement, poor publicity for UTC's nationwide, reduced funding.

Target Audience

| Audience | Strategy |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Potential students | Prospectus. Posters, post cards, newsletter and flyers |
| | Promotional gifts e.g., pens, pencils, memory sticks, pads, |
| | rulers and other give-aways. |
| | Attractive and accessible website with up to date information |
| | on curriculum, choices, enrichment activities and student |
| | achievements in curricular and extra-curricular activities. |
| | Adverts in movie theatres and video displays in community |
| | spaces during term breaks |
| | Open events and bespoke marketing campaigns promoting |
| | distinctiveness of the schools. |
| Existing students | Celebration of student achievements including awards and |
| | dedicated displays of student achievement |
| | Promotion of progression routes into university, |
| | apprenticeships and employment, both via the printed |
| | materials and website. |
| | Continuous improvement of learning experience and student |
| | support. |
| | Provision of excellent facilities. |
| Parents | Good quality information, printed and electronic including |
| | easily accessible website. |
| | Parents evenings |
| | Newsletters |
| | Support for parents associations |
| | Termly newsletters. |
| Employers and Careers | Invitations to presentation evenings and assemblies |
| services | Invitation to school careers events |
| | Making available up to date curriculum information |
| | Employer sponsored projects and Dragon's Den type |
| | competitions |
| | Support for work placements and internships |
| External stakeholders | Provision of up to date information on schools |

| such as Ofsted, DfE and Local Authorities | Enhancing support for additional needs students in partnership with Las. | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | 14-19 careers activities with Las | |
| | Prompt provision of student progression and operational data. | |
| The Public | Promotion of the schools through press coverage | |
| | Good quality accessible website | |
| | Collaborative activities and access to school facilities | |
| | Community and charitable projects | |

Assessment of Marketing Channels

The following forms of marketing have all been used by the Trust and the individual schools. Each channel is assessed for impact and cost before implementation. It is also important not to view each channel in isolation; various channels can complement each other and raise awareness.

1. Website

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Cost |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 'Public face' of UTC Tailored content Can be frequently updated Can incorporate content other than text – images, video etc. Communication channel – can host forms for example Cross-posts social media content e.g. Twitter feed Accessible on variety of devices including phones | Needs regular updates for 'freshness' Hard to be conversational – tends to be one-way Formal structure may not engage all | Low Cost |

2. Social Media

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Cost |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Can reach young audiences through variety of devices Conversational (potentially) giving two-way communication Content can be quickly and simply uploaded Can eventually be student-led | Can cause reputational damage if posts are irregular, lacking in engaging content Cannot control comments field – potential for negative comments Open to being hacked | Low Cost |

3. Prospectus

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Timescales |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Detailed – range of information Effective branding – use of imagery and colour Can reach a large audience | Expensive to produce (design and print)Can become dated | High Cost |

| electronically and in hard | |
|----------------------------|--|
| сору | |

4. Flyers and posters

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Timescales | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Comparatively cheap to produce in volume Can be easily distributed and displayed Can be distributed by students | Potentially low impact if design is poor Low impact if distribution is poorly-targeted | Low Cost | | | | |

5. Open events off-site

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Cost |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Can be effective awareness-raising Platform to engage with visitors Opportunities to gather data Can strengthen relationships with sponsors when held at sponsor venues | Potentially low impact if location, timing and communication is not optimal Can be costly – venue hire, staff time, travel, merchandise | High Cost |

6. Direct mailshots

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Cost |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Can be precisely targeted so cost-effective Can be personalised, giving high level of engagement Can be printed quickly to link to events, offers or competitions Sponsors can support with costs e.g. postage | Costly to design, print and post Issues over low quality data Can be low impact if area and date choice is not optimal | Medium Cost |

7. Events at other schools

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Cost |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Can reach a large audience of target market – age, location Can give personal presentations Can gather contact data Can gather paper application forms | Limited number of opportunities — reticence and defensiveness from some schools | Low Cost |

8. Open events at Own School

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Cost |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Can be run regularly Low cost other than staff time commitment Visitors can meet students to ask questions Visitors can tour facilities and get sense of place | Time commitment Safeguarding and H&S issues need navigating Visitor numbers may need management | Low Cost |

9. Print, digital and web advertising

| Advantages | Disadvantages | Cost |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Can reach a large audience in and around the school catchments Can incorporate a range of content Can be run across channels in a campaign e.g. radio, web and print to support open event Can be cost effective | Can be costly to design and produce Somewhat untargeted and unknown impact Cost for some media e.g. radio and digital is prohibitive | High Cost |

Trust Level Marketing

Taking into account the marketing objectives the Trust undertakes a range of marketing and promotional activities to promote the Trust and its schools. These range from video advertising in the community events, new paper adverts, slides prior to movies in theatres, adverts on the buses, promotion through editorials in local papers, talks to students in primary and secondary schools etc.

School Level Marketing

After assessing the objectives of the Trust/School, the allocated budget and the channels of marketing and communication available, each school will compile a plan for the upcoming academic year (see example in appendix A). This plan is shared with the Trust CEO and updated for new events and emerging opportunities. At the end of the year the plan is reviewed and assessed for effectiveness to enhance effectiveness for future years. The University, industry and business stakeholders also support the marketing and recruitment events.

Appendix A - South Bank Engineering UTC Marketing Plan 2016/17

| | South Bank Engineering UTC Marketing Calendar 2016-17 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|------------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| | - | A 44 | | Cantanahan | | 0-4-6 | - | November | | D | | Jan-15 | | F-1 | | March | | A!! | | | \vdash | June | Н | t.d. |
| Sat | | Aug-14 | | September | 1 | October | | November | Н | December | | Jan-15 | H | February | H | March | 1 | April | | May | Н | June | 1 | July |
| Sun | П | | | | 2 | WEEK 4 | П | | | | 1 | WEEK 15 | | | | | 2 | | | WEEK 29 | Н | | 2 | WEEK 37 |
| Mon | 1 | Website update | | | 3 | | | | | | 2 | XMAS | | | | | 3 | EASTER | 1 | BANK HOLIDAY | | | 3 | Newsletter |
| Tues | 2 | SUMMER HOLS | | | 4 | | 1 | | | | 3 | Newsletter | | | | | 4 | EASTER | 2 | Newsletter | | | 4 | |
| Wed | 3 | SUMMER HOLS | | | 5 | | 2 | | | | 4 | | 1 | | 1 | | 5 | EASTER | 3 | | | | 5 | |
| Thur | 4 | SUMMER HOLS | 1 | INSET | 6 | Open morning | 3 | Open morning | 1 | Open morning | 5 | | 2 | | 2 | Open morning | 6 | EASTER | 4 | | 1 | HALF TERM | 6 | |
| Fri | 5 | SUMMER HOLS | 2 | INSET | 7 | Flyers out | 4 | | 2 | | 6 | Mailshot out | 3 | | 3 | | 7 | EASTER | 5 | | 2 | HALF TERM | 7 | |
| Sat | 6 | | 3 | | 8 | | 5 | | 3 | | 7 | | 4 | | 4 | | 8 | | 6 | | 3 | | 8 | |
| Sun | | | 4 | | 9 | WEEK 5 | 6 | WEEK 8 | 4 | WEEK 12 | 8 | WEEK 16 | 5 | WEEK 20 | 5 | WEEK 23 | 9 | | 7 | WEEK 30 | 4 | WEEK 33 | 9 | WEEK 38 |
| Mon | | Facebook ads | 5 | INSET | 10 | | 7 | | 5 | | 9 | Print ads | 7 | | 6 | Newsletter | 10 | EASTER | 8 | | 5 | Newsletter | 10 | |
| Tues | - | SUMMER HOLS | 7 | INSET | 11 12 | | 9 | | 7 | | 10 11 | | 8 | | 7 8 | | 11 12 | EASTER | 9 10 | | 6 7 | | 11 | |
| Thur | _ | SUMMER HOLS | 8 | INSET Test Y10 | _ | Open morning | | Open morning | | Open morning | 12 | Open morning | 9 | Open morning | 9 | | 13 | EASTER EASTER | 11 | | 8 | Open morning | 13 | Open morning |
| Fri | 12 | Hoardings | 9 | Test Y12 | 14 | | 11 | Flyers out | 9 | Skills London | 13 | Open morning | 10 | Flyers out | 10 | Flyers out | 14 | EASTER | 12 | | 9 | Open morning | 14 | Open morning |
| Sat | | Hoarungs | 10 | | 15 | | 12 | riyers out | 10 | Skills London | 14 | Open event | 11 | riyers out | 11 | Fiyers out | 15 | LASIER | 13 | | 10 | | 15 | Lambeth Show |
| Sun | | | 11 | WEEK 1 | 16 | WEEK 6 | 13 | WEEK 9 | 11 | WEEK 13 | 15 | WEEK 17 | 12 | | 12 | WEEK 24 | 16 | WEEK 27 | 14 | WEEK 31 | 11 | WEEK 34 | 16 | Lambeth Show |
| Mon | | Twitter polls | 12 | | 17 | | 14 | Newsletter | 12 | Newsletter | 16 | Newsletter | 13 | HALF TERM | 13 | | 17 | EASTER | 15 | | 12 | | 17 | Newsletter |
| Tues | 16 | SUMMER HOLS | 13 | | 18 | Print ads | 15 | | 13 | | 17 | | 14 | HALF TERM | 14 | | 18 | Newsletter | 16 | | 13 | | 18 | |
| Wed | 17 | SUMMER HOLS | 14 | | 19 | | 16 | | 14 | | 18 | | 15 | HALF TERM | 15 | | 19 | | 17 | | 14 | | 19 | |
| Thur | 18 | SUMMER HOLS | 15 | en morning/pho | 20 | Open morning | 17 | Open morning | 15 | | 19 | | 16 | HALF TERM | 16 | Open morning | 20 | | 18 | Open morning | 15 | | 20 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Fri | 19 | SUMMER HOLS | 16 | Photoshoot | 21 | Flyers out | 18 | | 16 | | 20 | Flyers out | 17 | HALF TERM | 17 | | 21 | | 19 | | 16 | | 21 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Sat | 20 | | 17 | | 22 | | 19 | | 17 | | 21 | | 18 | | 18 | | 22 | | 20 | | 17 | | 22 | |
| Sun | - | | 18 | WEEK 2 | 23 | | 20 | WEEK 10 | 18 | WEEK 14 | 22 | WEEK 18 | 19 | WEEK 21 | 19 | | 23 | WEEK 28 | 21 | WEEK 32 | 18 | WEEK 35 | 23 | WEEK 40 |
| Mon | 22 | SUMMER HOLS | | | 24 | | 21 | | 19 | XMAS | 23 | | 20 | Newsletter | 20 | | 24 | | 22 | | 19 | Newsletter | 24 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Tues | | | 20 | | 25 | | 22 | | 20 | XMAS | 24 | | 21 | | 21 | | 25 | | 23 | | 20 | | 25 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Wed | | SUMMER HOLS | | _ | 26 | | 23 | | 21 | XMAS | 25 | | 22 | | 22 | | 26 | | 24 | | 21 | | 26 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Thur | - | | | pen morning/ev | | | | Open morning | 22 | XMAS | 26 | Open morning | 23 | | 23 | | | Open morning | 25 | | 22 | Open morning | 27 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Fri Sat | 26 | Enrolment | 23 | rixton Design Tra | 28 | HALF TERM | 25 26 | | 23 24 | XMAS | 27 28 | | 24 25 | | 24 25 | | 28 29 | | 26 27 | | 23 24 | | 28 29 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Sun | | | 25 | WEEK 3 | 30 | WEEK 7 | 27 | WEEK 11 | 25 | | 29 | WEEK 19 | 26 | WEEK 22 | 26 | | 30 | | 28 | | 25 | WEEK 36 | 30 | |
| Mon | - | | 26 | | 31 | | 28 | | 26 | XMAS | 30 | | 27 | WLLK 22 | 27 | | 30 | | 29 | HALF TERM | 26 | WEEK 30 | 31 | SUMMER HOLS |
| Tues | | | 27 | | - | | 29 | | 27 | XMAS | 31 | . TO TO TO TO TO TO | 28 | | 28 | | | | 30 | HALF TERM | 27 | | | |
| Wed | | | 28 | | | | 30 | | 28 | XMAS | Ī | | T - | | 29 | | | | 31 | HALF TERM | 28 | | | |
| Thur | | 1 | 29 | | l | | | | 29 | XMAS | | | | | 30 | | | | | | 29 | | | |
| Fri | | | 30 | Mailshot | | | | | 30 | XMAS | L | | | | 31 | Flyers out | | | | | 30 | | | |
| Sat | | | | | | | | | 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | П | | | |
| Sun | Ш | | | | Ш | | Ш | | | | L | | L | | L | | Ш | | L | | Ш | | Ш | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Web Print publication Events (externa Events (interna Advertising | al) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

This page is intentionally left blank



| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Paper title: | May Financial Management Accounts |
| Board/Committee: | South Bank Academies Board Meeting |
| Date of meeting: | 11 July 2017 |
| Sponsor: | CEO |
| Author: | Dan Smith |
| Purpose: | Review |
| Recommendation: | To review the May 2017 Management Accounts |

Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide information allowing the South Bank Academies Board to review the current financial situation.

Shown within this report;

- A consolidated Forecast
- Individual forecast for both schools and central services
- Analysis of income and expenditure

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide information to the South Bank Academies Board and allow them to review the current financial position for the academic and financial year ending 31st August 2017.

The information within the report should give assurance of the Trust's financial position and help assist any decision making over the period covered.

The report will cover the Trust as a whole followed by forecasts for each school and the central services.

Forecasts for each school are submitted to the relevant local governing body and sub-committees for regular review.

Summary – Consolidated Forecast

| Current Forecast for Academic Year 2016 | /17 (As at 31s | t May 2017) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Percentage of Academic Year passed = 7 | 5% | | | | | |
| Income | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance | Current/ Forecast | Comment |
| GAG Income | 3,498,188 | 4,594,551 | 4,594,551 | 0 | 76% | On Target |
| Start Up Grants | 411,792 | 412,000 | 412,000 | 0 | 100% | Start Up Grants Front ended |
| Other Government Income | 333,554 | 419,158 | 219,158 | 200,000 | 80% | On Target |
| Other Income | 106,378 | 176,871 | 145,897 | 30,974 | 60% | On Target |
| Projected Clawback | (602,456) | (803,274) | 0 | (803,274) | 75% | On Target |
| Capital Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% | On Target |
| Income Total | 3,747,456 | 4,799,307 | 5,371,607 | (572,300) | | |
| Expenditure | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance | Current/ Forecast | |
| Teaching Salaries | 1.592.886 | 2.181.082 | 2.418.449 | (237,367) | 73% | On Target |
| Other Salaries | 715,920 | 988,357 | 1,143,025 | (154,668) | 72% | On Target |
| Other Staff Costs | 82,388 | 111,750 | 137,682 | (25,932) | 74% | On Target |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Costs | 226,966 | 368,050 | 350,886 | 17,164 | 62% | On Target |
| Curriculum Budgets | 104,805 | 120,866 | 108,741 | 12,125 | 87% | On Target |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 152,113 | 208,000 | 164,794 | 43,206 | 73% | On Target |
| Catering Costs | 173,791 | 226,243 | 273,352 | (47,109) | 77% | On Target |
| Exams | 16,692 | 23,000 | 13,600 | 9,400 | 73% | On Target |
| IT Costs | 46,320 | 128,459 | 132,330 | (3,871) | 36% | Managed Service Cost not Invoiced |
| Capital Costs | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 0% | On Target |
| Central Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% | On Target |
| Other Costs | 77,628 | 126,216 | 113,183 | 13,033 | 62% | On Target |
| Expenditure Total | 3,189,509 | 4,492,022 | 4,866,042 | (374,020) | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 557,947 | 307,285 | 505,565 | (198,280) | | |

Surplus

The current forecast shows a projected year end surplus of £307k. The original budget showed a surplus of £505k but there have been significant revisions due to reduced pupil numbers leading to a projected clawback liability of £803k and a reduction in staffing salaries.

Income

As mentioned previously there has been a projected clawback liability of £803k which has reduced the income level. Additional 'transitional' funding of £200k for the UTC has been received and there have been additional amounts of income relating to high needs students received.

Expenditure

Excluding staffing costs there has been a positive variance against budget of £44k of all other expenditure.

Summary – Academy Forecast

| Current Forecast for Academic Year 2016/17 | (As at 31st M | lay 2017) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Percentage of Academic Year passed = 75% | | | | | | |
| Income | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance | Current/ Forecast | Comment |
| GAG Income | 2,586,089 | 3,431,050 | 3,431,050 | 0 | | On Target |
| Start Up Grants | 182.292 | 182.500 | 182,500 | 0 | | Start Up Grants paid |
| Other Government Income | 133.554 | 190,200 | 190,200 | 0 | | Awaiting EFA Rates reclaim |
| Other Income | 91.607 | 146,474 | 115,500 | 30,974 | | Catering Income decreased/Additional High Needs |
| Prospective Clawback | (336,000) | (448.000) | 0 | (448,000) | | Clawback shown as monthly deduction |
| Capital Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | , |
| Income Total | 2,657,543 | 3,502,224 | 3,919,250 | (417,026) | | |
| Expenditure | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance | Current/ Forecast | Comment |
| Teaching Salaries | 1.150.472 | 1.560.411 | 1,832,125 | | | On Target |
| Other Salaries | 481.061 | 673,854 | 790,130 | | | On Target |
| Other Staff Costs | 76.635 | 101.000 | 118,757 | (17,757) | | Recruitment costs not yet spent |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Costs | 218.917 | 341,532 | 314,400 | 27,132 | | Waiting on Utility Bills |
| Curriculum Budgets | 79,096 | 90,000 | 77,875 | 12,125 | | Resources are usually front ended |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 108,413 | 142,500 | 95,294 | 47,206 | | On Target |
| Catering Costs | 130,234 | 172,891 | 220,000 | (47,109) | | Catering Costs adjusted |
| Exams | 6,739 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | | On Target |
| IT Costs | 27,729 | 106,079 | 117,330 | (11,251) | | On Target (Managed Service Costs not yet invoiced) |
| Capital Costs | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | Ó | 0% | On Target |
| Central Services | 147,512 | 147,512 | 147,512 | 0 | | On Target |
| Other Costs | 56,458 | 74,216 | 68,350 | 5,866 | 76% | On Target |
| Expenditure Total | 2,483,266 | 3,429,995 | 3,801,773 | 371,778 | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 174,276 | 72,230 | 117,477 | (45,247) | | |

Analysis

Most areas are on target and the Academy is forecasting a surplus of £72k. Some costs centres may not be used fully and the surplus could rise further.

Summary – UTC Forecast

| Current Forecast for Academic Year 2 | • | tile 3 ist may | 2017) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Percentage of Academic Year passed | = 75% | | | | | |
| Income | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance Forecast/Budget | Current/ Forecast % | Comment |
| GAG Income | 912,099 | 1,163,501 | 1,163,501 | - | 78% | On Target |
| Start Up Grants | 229,500 | 229,500 | 229,500 | - | 100% | Start Up Grants Paid |
| Other Government Income | 200,000 | 228,958 | 28,958 | 200,000 | 87% | Awaiting Pupil Premium & Top Up Funding |
| Other Income | 14,770 | 30,397 | 30,397 | - | 49% | On target |
| Prospective Clawback | (266,456) | (355,274) | 0 | (355,274) | 75% | Clawback shown as monthly deduction |
| Capital Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | | |
| Income Total | 1,089,913 | 1,297,083 | 1,452,357 | (155,274) | | |
| Expenditure | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance | Current/ Forecast % | Comment |
| Teaching Salaries | 442,414 | 620,671 | 586,324 | 34,347 | 71% | On target |
| Other Salaries | 145,334 | 194,491 | 232,883 | (38,392) | 75% | On target |
| Other Staff Costs | 5,753 | 10,750 | 18,925 | (8,175) | 54% | Recruitment Costs still to be paid |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Cos | 8,049 | 26,518 | 36,486 | (9,968) | 30% | Awaiting Utility Bills |
| Curriculum Budgets | 25,710 | 30,866 | 30,866 | - | 83% | On Target |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 20,700 | 30,500 | 34,500 | (4,000) | 68% | On target |
| Catering Costs | 43,557 | 53,352 | 53,352 | - | 82% | On target |
| Exams | 9,953 | 13,000 | 3,600 | 9,400 | 0% | Additional Exam Costs |
| IT Costs | 18,591 | 22,380 | 15,000 | 7,380 | 83% | Additional Costs |
| Central Services | 41,665 | 41,665 | 41,665 | - | 100% | Paid in full |
| Capital Costs | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0% | On target |
| Other Costs | 16,670 | 27,000 | 19,833 | 7,167 | 62% | May exceed budgeted amount |
| Expenditure Total | 778,394 | 1,071,192 | 1,073,434 | (2,241) | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 311,519 | 225,890 | 378,923 | (153,033) | | |

Analysis

Most areas are on target and the UTC is forecasting a surplus of £226k which includes the £200k transitional fund. Overall expenditure is in line with budget.

Summary – Central Service Forecast

| Current Forecast for Academic Year 2 | 2016/17 (as | at the 31st N | lay 2017) | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Percentage of Academic Year passed | = 75% | | | | | |
| Income | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance Forecast/Budget | Current/ Forecast % | Comment |
| GAG Income | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Start Up Grants | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Other Government Income | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Other Income | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Capital Grant | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Prospective Clawback | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Income Total | - | - | - | - | | |
| Expenditure | Current | Forecast | Budget | Variance Forecast/Budget | Current/ Forecast | Comment |
| Teaching Salaries | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Other Salaries | 89.525 | 120.012 | 120.012 | - | 75% | On Target |
| Other Staff Costs | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Cos | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Curriculum Budgets | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 23,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | - | 66% | On Target |
| Catering Costs | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Exams | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| IT Costs | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Capital Costs | - | - | - | - | 0% | On Target |
| Central Services Cost | (189,177) | (189,177) | (189,177) | - | 100% | On Target |
| Other Costs | 4,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | - | 18% | On Target |
| Expenditure Total | (72,152) | (9,165) | (9,165) | - | | |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 72.152 | 9.165 | 9,165 | - | | |

Analysis

Most areas are on target and the Central Services is forecasting a surplus of £9k as per the budget.

| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Paper title: | MAT Budget 2017/2018 |
| Board/Committee: | South Bank Academies Board Meeting |
| Date of meeting: | 11 July 2017 |
| Sponsor: | CEO |
| Author: | Dan Smith |
| Purpose: | To Approve |
| Recommendation: | The Board are asked to consider and approve South Bank Academies 2017/2018 Budget. |

Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide information allowing the South Bank Academies Board to approve the 2017/2018 Budget.

Shown within this report;

- A consolidated Trust wide budget
- Individual budgets for both schools
- A Central Service budget
- Analysis of income and expenditure
- Benchmarking based on the DfE's benchmarking data

BUDGET REPORT 2017/18

(Inc. 5 Year Financial Forecast)

For the attention: South Bank Academies Board

Compiled by: Dan Smith

Trust Business Manager

Date: June 2017

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide information to the South Bank Academies Board and allow them to ratify the proposed budget for the 2017/18 financial and academic year.

In addition to the 2017/18 proposed budget are forecasted budgets for the following four years.

The information within the report should give assurance of the Trust's financial position and help assist any strategic decision making over the period covered.

The report will cover the Trust as a whole followed by budgets and analysis for each school and the central services.

Budgets were set in collaboration with the Principals' at each school, staffing levels which represent a majority of the expenditure have been set to meet curriculum and safeguarding needs.

Summary

| Income | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| GAG Income | 5,746,588 | 7,580,976 | 9,004,072 | 10,012,621 | 11,055,349 |
| Start Up Grants | 323,500 | 92,000 | - | - | - |
| Other Government Income | 697,700 | 787,074 | 675,750 | 718,632 | 749,515 |
| Other Income | 226,425 | 265,449 | 316,875 | 353,374 | 384,647 |
| Prospective Clawback | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Grant | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income Total | 6,994,213 | 8,725,498 | 9,996,697 | 11,084,627 | 12,189,510 |
| Expenditure | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
| Teaching Salaries | 3,105,438 | 4,223,204 | 4,978,737 | 5,440,172 | 5,853,899 |
| Other Salaries | 1,693,957 | 1,793,245 | 2,035,177 | 2,170,380 | 2,541,731 |
| Other Staff Costs | 148,612 | 158,893 | 174,187 | 174,497 | 189,821 |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Costs | 481,901 | 541,192 | 592,409 | 656,650 | 691,037 |
| Curriculum Budgets | 200,000 | 177,406 | 196,658 | 216,979 | 242,647 |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 149,000 | 142,250 | 177,513 | 207,788 | 223,078 |
| Catering Costs | 323,585 | 422,250 | 505,713 | 565,516 | 626,862 |
| Exams | 90,750 | 160,000 | 195,000 | 245,000 | 255,000 |
| IT Costs | 225,540 | 246,305 | 270,743 | 285,984 | 320,235 |
| Central Services | - | - | - | = | 1 |
| Capital Costs | 102,000 | 185,000 | 225,000 | 275,000 | 350,000 |
| Other Costs | 399,000 | 523,199 | 558,769 | 567,565 | 586,381 |
| Expenditure Total | 6,919,783 | 8,572,943 | 9,909,906 | 10,805,529 | 11,880,691 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 74,430 | 152,555 | 86,791 | 279,098 | 308,819 |
| Surplus/Income | 1.1% | 1.7% | 0.9% | 2.5% | 2.5% |

Surplus

The overall budget shows a surplus of £74k which equates to 1.1% of the total income. Department for Education rules stated before 2012 that you were allowed to carry forward up to 12% without any clawback, the Academy Financial Handbook now states 'these limits have now been removed so that ATs can keep money aside for when it is needed most and build up reserves'.

Income

The income is as per the statements from the Education Funding Agency (EFA) for each school, this has been checked and agreed.

The GAG pupil led income is £5.7m. This breaks down to £4.8m for Pre 16 students and £0.9m for Post 16 students.

Additionally, other income is derived from other sources such as Pupil Premium, Council Rates re-imbursement Catering income and any self-generated income via lettings, sponsorship or the provision of services.

As the staffing capacity increases and the Trust develops history and data it may be possible to attract more self-generated income via sponsorship, trusts or grants. At present the Trust is heavily reliant on GAG income, 87% of all income is GAG related against a local secondary school average of 83%.

With pressure on school budgets it may be advantageous to explore ways to supplement the regular funding streams.

Staff Costs

Staff costs to total expenditure are budgeted to be 69%, against a local sponsored secondary academy average of 69%.

The staff costs include the following;

- All current staff with salary increases where applicable
- Newly appointed teaching staff starting in September
- Support staff roles currently being recruited (the top of each range advertised has been used)

There is a provision for supply staff to cover absences.

Premises Costs

The average local sponsored secondary academy will spend 7.7% of its total expenditure on premises or occupancy costs, the Trust is forecast to spend 8.8%. Included within the premises costs will be a number of fixed or non-pupil led costs such as rates, utilities and alarm systems servicing and maintenance, as the pupil numbers grow the income and expenditure will increase and the cost of these items as a percentage of the whole expenditure will decrease, in 2021/22 the forecast percentage is 7.8%.

Economies of Scale

As the multi-academy trust grows and develops there will be opportunities to take advantage of its increased size by contracting services and supplies across the Trust rather than schools doing so individually.

The following services have been procured using this bargaining power creating savings for each school:

- Catering A one-year contract has been agreed for both sites with JPL Catering. They have been the catering supplier for the UTC since inception and had a year left on their existing contract. They were the preferred choice of both Principals and the Chief Executive.
 - A tendering process will be put in place for a longer term contract starting in September 2018.
- Cleaning The UTC required a cleaning service for their new building and the Academy were experiencing operational problems with their existing supplier. A tendering process took place and the contract was given to AIM Cleaning Services.

Employment Law, Education Law, Payroll and Health and Safety Advice – All provided by Judicium Education.

Other costs

Other costs such as regular office supplies and photocopying have been based on historic costs and then extrapolated by their leading factor such as student or staffing numbers.

Ratios

| Ratios | | | | | | Southwark Secondary Average |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Surplus to GAG | 1.2% | 2.0% | 1.0% | 2.8% | 2.8% | , , |
| | | | | | | |
| Staff Costs to Total Expenditure | 69% | 70% | 71% | 70% | 71% | 69% |
| Occupancy Costs to GAG (Inc. Start Up Gra | 8.8% | 8.3% | 7.8% | 7.9% | 7.8% | 7.7% |
| GAG Income as % of Total Income | 87% | 88% | 90% | 90% | 91% | 83% |
| No of Students | 741 | 979 | 1,174 | 1,309 | 1,444 | |
| Teaching Staff FTE | 56.1 | 78.6 | 85.9 | 94.4 | 102.0 | |
| All Staff FTE | 111.2 | 142.6 | 155.7 | 169.4 | 188.5 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Teaching Staff % | 50% | 55% | 55% | 56% | 54% | 52% |

Some of the ratios and percentages have already been stated within the report but it is interesting to benchmark the Trust and the Trust's schools budget and forecast against the Local Secondary Average which has been collected via the DfE benchmarking data. This will show any strange discrepancies and also allow some contextual analysis on the figures.

Surplus to GAG – All years are within the average. Whilst it is good practice to carry forward reserves it should be remembered the revenue income received should be spent on the current cohort and not stockpiled.

Occupancy Costs – At present our students numbers are below the fully occupied level. This will mean that a larger than normal proportion of the costs over the first few years have gone towards the maintenance of the site, these costs will be items such as utility bills and statutory maintenance of equipment are fixed costs and cannot be avoided. As you can see the percentage against income decreases from 8.8% to 7.8% over the five years.

Pupil Numbers

The budget is based on the following 2017/18 pupil numbers, these numbers were submitted to the DfE and approved for funding;

| | Academy | UTC | Total |
|---------|---------|-----|-------|
| Pre 16 | 510 | 86 | 596 |
| Post 16 | 44 | 101 | 145 |
| | | | |
| Total | 554 | 187 | 741 |

At present the pupil numbers look achievable.

There are still marketing opportunities to increase the Pre 16 cohort at the UTC as this is a difficult year group to attract as it is not a natural entry level. Post 16 admissions for the UTC are higher than expected.

The Academy should reach its targets at both entry levels and has also received several in-year applications into its current year groups from other schools.

Forecast 2018/19 to 2021/22

All future years show a surplus and are based on current funding. If a new funding formula is introduced by the government then this will need to be reviewed.

It is important to remember that future year's forecasts are indicative and can alter as they are affected by student numbers and emergent strategies. They do however show that the Trust is planning well for the future, is financially secure and able to anticipate and solve any future problems.

Shown below are the budgets and forecasts for the individual schools and central services, these are to be presented to the Local Governing Body of each school so there may be some duplication of narrative.

University Academy of Engineering South Bank Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide information to the University Academy of Engineering South Bank Local Governing Body and allow them to ratify the proposed budget for the 2017/18 financial and academic year.

In addition to the 2017/18 proposed budget are forecasted budgets for the following four years.

The information within the report should give assurance of the Academy's financial position and help assist any strategic decision making over the period covered.

The Budget was set in collaboration with the Principal. Staffing levels which represent a majority of the expenditure have been set to meet curriculum and safeguarding needs.

Summary

| Summary | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Income | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
| GAG Income | 4,287,585 | 5,639,093 | 6,338,793 | 6,590,597 | 6,709,841 |
| Start Up Grants | 141,000 | - | - | - | - |
| Other Government Income | 423,000 | 494,824 | 549,000 | 557,382 | 565,765 |
| Other Income | 182,295 | 207,441 | 237,610 | 252,198 | 255,794 |
| Prospective Clawback | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Grant | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income Total | 5,033,880 | 6,341,357 | 7,125,403 | 7,400,178 | 7,531,399 |
| Expenditure | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
| Teaching Salaries | 2,192,178 | 3,137,884 | 3,613,549 | 3,565,027 | 3,571,924 |
| Other Salaries | 1,088,602 | 1,091,738 | 1,219,397 | 1,285,985 | 1,331,221 |
| Other Staff Costs | 108,000 | 118,000 | 123,000 | 123,000 | 123,000 |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Costs | 344,750 | 359,438 | 385,409 | 391,680 | 398,264 |
| Curriculum Budgets | 160,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 92,000 | 97,000 | 122,000 | 152,000 | 167,000 |
| Catering Costs | 234,325 | 306,236 | 348,184 | 364,164 | 370,156 |
| Exams | 65,000 | 100,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| IT Costs | 152,000 | 157,000 | 157,000 | 157,000 | 157,000 |
| Central Services | 155,000 | 197,368 | 221,858 | 230,671 | 234,844 |
| Capital Costs | 100,000 | 175,000 | 200,000 | 225,000 | 250,000 |
| Other Costs | 301,000 | 409,824 | 440,000 | 448,382 | 456,765 |
| Expenditure Total | 4,992,855 | 6,274,486 | 7,080,397 | 7,192,909 | 7,310,174 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 41,025 | 66,871 | 45,006 | 207,269 | 221,225 |
| Surplus/Income | 0.8% | 1.1% | 0.6% | 2.8% | 2.9% |

Surplus

The overall budget shows a surplus of £41k which equates to 0.8% of the total income. Department for Education rules stated before 2012 that you were allowed to carry forward up to 12% without any clawback, the Academy Financial Handbook now states 'these limits have now been removed so that ATs can keep money aside for when it is needed most and build up reserves'.

Income

The income is as per the statements from the Education Funding Agency (EFA) and has been checked and agreed.

The GAG pupil led income is £4.28m. This breaks down to £4.05m for Pre 16 students and £0.23m for Post 16 students.

In addition to this other income is derived from other sources such as Pupil Premium, Council Rates re-imbursement Catering income and any self-generated income via lettings, sponsorship or the provision of services.

As the staffing capacity increases and the Academy develops history and data it may be possible to attract more self-generated income via sponsorship, trusts or grants. At present the Academy is heavily reliant on GAG income, 88% of all income is GAG related against a local secondary school average of 83%.

With pressure on school budgets it may be advantageous to explore ways to supplement the regular funding streams.

Staff Costs

Staff costs to total expenditure are budgeted to be 68%, against a local sponsored secondary academy average of 69%.

The staff costs include the following;

- All current staff with salary increases where applicable
- Newly appointed teaching staff starting in September
- Support staff roles currently being recruited (the top of each range advertised has been used)

There is a provision for supply staff to cover absences.

Facilities Costs

The average local sponsored secondary academy will spend 7.7% of its total expenditure on premises or occupancy costs, the Academy is forecast to spend 7.8%. This has been reduced from the initial year when the percentage was 14%. Included within the premises costs will be a number of fixed or non-pupil led costs such as rates, utilities and alarm systems servicing and maintenance, as the pupil numbers have grown the percentage of the total expenditure related to facilities costs has decreased.

Economies of Scale

The Academy is part of the South Bank Academies multi-academy trust and as the multi-academy trust grows and develops there will be opportunities to take advantage of its increased size by contracting services and supplies across the Trust rather than schools doing so individually.

The following services have been procured using this bargaining power creating savings for each school, the MAT currently consists of the Academy and the South Bank Engineering UTC:

- Catering A one-year contract has been agreed for both sites with JPL Catering. They have been the catering supplier for the UTC since inception and had a year left on their existing contract. They were the preferred choice of both Principals and the Chief Executive.
 - A tendering process will be put in place for a longer term contract starting in September 2018.
- Cleaning The UTC required a cleaning service for their new building and the Academy were experiencing operational problems with their existing

- supplier. A tendering process took place and the contract was given to AIM Cleaning Services.
- Employment Law, Education Law, Payroll and Health and Safety Advice All provided by Judicium Education.

Other costs

Other costs such as regular office supplies and photocopying have been based on historic costs and then extrapolated by their leading factor such as student or staffing numbers.

Ratios

| Ratios | | | | | | Sponsored Secondary Average |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------------------------------|
| Surplus to GAG | 0.9% | 1.2% | 0.7% | 3.1% | 3.3% | Between 0% - 5% |
| Staff Costs to Total Expenditure | 68% | 69% | 70% | 69% | 69% | 69% |
| Occupancy Costs to GAG (Inc. Start Up Grants) | 7.8% | 6.4% | 6.1% | 5.9% | 5.9% | 7.7% |
| GAG Income as % of Total Income | 88% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 89% | 83% |
| Teaching Staff % | 51% | 58% | 57% | 55% | 54% | 52% |

Some of the ratios and percentages have already been stated within the report but it is interesting to benchmark the Academy's budget and forecast against the Local Secondary Average which has been collected via the DfE benchmarking data. This will show any strange discrepancies and also allow some contextual analysis on the figures.

Surplus to GAG – All years are within the average. Whilst it is good practice to carry forward reserves it should be remembered the revenue income received should be spent on the current cohort and not stockpiled.

All other ratios are within expected ranges. Occupancy costs are below the average and as the academy building is newer than most other schools the utility and occupancy costs may be lower as a percentage of total expenditure when full.

Pupil Numbers

The budget is based on the following 2017/18 pupil numbers, these numbers were submitted to the DfE and approved for funding;

| | Pupil Nos. |
|---------|------------|
| Pre 16 | 510 |
| Post 16 | 44 |
| | |
| Total | 554 |

At present the pupil numbers look achievable. The Academy should reach its targets at both entry levels.

In-year applications into its current year groups from other schools have been high throughout the current academic year.

Forecast 2018/19 to 2021/22

All future years show a surplus and are based on current funding. If a new funding formula is introduced by the government then this will need to be reviewed.

It is important to remember that future year's forecasts are indicative and can alter as they are affected by student numbers and emergent strategies. They do however show that the Academy is planning well for the future, is financially secure and able to anticipate and solve any future problems.

Below is shown the detail for the budget at the Academy

| Pre-16 School Budget Share | 4,054,278 | As per Income Statement |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 16-19 School Budget Share | 233,307 | As per Income Statement |
| Rates Relief | 54,000 | 90% of Cost |
| Pupil Premium | 285,000 | Based on 60% of KS3&4 |
| Start Up Grant Part A | 141,000 | As per Income Statement |
| SEN Funding | 84,000 | Based on 5 students |
| Lettings Income | 20,000 | Historical Data Used |
| Catering Income | 132,795 | 60% of Cost (Current 40% FSM) |
| Trip Income | 23,000 | Historical Data Used |
| Uniforms Income | 5,000 | Historical Data Used |
| Bank Interest | 1,500 | Historical Data Used |
| Income | 5,033,880 | |

| Teachers - Salaries/Allowances | 2,114,678 | As per Salary Analysis | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|--|
| Agency Supply Cover - Teaching | 77,500 | PE Coaches plus £250 per day | |
| | 2,192,178 | | |
| Teaching Assistants - Salaries | 699.877 | As per Salary Analysis | |
| Premises Staff - Salaries | | As per Salary Analysis | |
| Increases 1% | | 1% of Total Pay | |
| Increases PM | 30,000 | 15 Increases | |
| Finance & Admin - Salaries | 227,227 | As per Salary Analysis | |
| Agency Supply Cover - Support | 15,000 | Historical Data Used | |
| | 1,088,602 | | |
| Course Fees | 60,000 | Increase from 2016/17 | |
| Recruitment Fees | | Based on 16/17 TES subscription included | |
| Staff Travel | 3,000 | Based on 16/17 | |
| Hospitality | 5,000 | Based on 16/17 | |
| | 108,000 | | |

| Building Projects/Maintenance | 80,000 | Based on Previous Years (Maintenance) |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Cleaning Contract | 85,000 | New AIM Contract - includes annual Kitchen deep clean |
| Cleaning Materials | 6,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Building Management System | 2,750 | As per maintenance quote |
| Water/Sewerage Charges | 6,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Gas | 15,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Electricity | 90,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Rates | 60,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| | 344,750 | |

| Curriculum Budgets | 160,000 | Increase from 2016/17 |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| | | |
| Professional Services - Educational | 40,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Professional Services - Non Educational | 40,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Audit Costs | 12,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| | 92,000 | |
| | | |
| Catering Food/Drink | 221,325 | Based on 500 meals on 195 days at £2.27 |
| Catering Maintenance & Repairs | 8,000 | JLA Contract |
| Catering Equipment | 5,000 | Breakages and increased pupil numbers |
| | 234,325 | |
| | | |
| Examination Fees | 65,000 | Increase from 2016/17 |
| | | |
| IT Consumables - Educational | 20,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| IT Equipment - Educational | 20,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| IT Support Services | 100,000 | Quotes being obtained |
| IT Licensing | 12,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| | 152,000 | |
| | | |
| Central services Cost | 155,000 | 3.5% of Income |
| | | |
| Furniture/Equipment | 100,000 | Refresh and Repairs inc ICT |
| | | |
| Stationery | 50,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Photocopying | 30,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Telephone Costs | 10,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| Bank Charges | 1,000 | Based on 16/17 |
| | 40.000 | |

10,000 Based on 16/17 includes Minibus Costs

152,000 Less TA's (£110k) and Spanish Trip (£23k)

23,000 Spanish Trip (PP)

25,000 Based on 16/17

301,000

4,992,855

Trips Travel Costs Spanish Year 9 Trip

Bursaries/Hardship

Pupil Premium

Expenditure

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide information to the South Bank Engineering UTC Local Governing Body and allow them to ratify the proposed budget for the 2017/18 financial and academic year.

In addition to the 2017/18 proposed budget are forecasted budgets for the following four years.

The information within the report should give assurance of the UTC's financial position and help assist any strategic decision making over the period covered.

The Budget was set in collaboration with the Principal. Staffing levels which represent a majority of the expenditure have been set to meet curriculum and safeguarding needs.

| Income | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| GAG Income | 1,459,003 | 1,941,883 | 2,665,279 | 3,422,024 | 4,345,508 |
| Start Up Grants | 182,500 | 92,000 | - | - | - |
| Other Government Income | 274,700 | 292,250 | 126,750 | 161,250 | 183,750 |
| Other Income | 44,130 | 58,007 | 79,265 | 101,176 | 128,853 |
| Prospective Clawback | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Grant | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income Total | 1,960,333 | 2,384,140 | 2,871,294 | 3,684,450 | 4,658,111 |
| Expenditure | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2020/21 |
| Teaching Salaries | 913,260 | 1,027,290 | 1,365,188 | 1,875,145 | 2,281,975 |
| Other Salaries | 446,666 | 542,818 | 553,826 | 590,115 | 909,720 |
| Other Staff Costs | 40,612 | 40,893 | 51,187 | 51,497 | 66,821 |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Costs | 137,151 | 181,754 | 207,000 | 264,970 | 292,773 |
| Curriculum Budgets | 40,000 | 52,406 | 71,658 | 91,979 | 117,647 |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 37,000 | 25,250 | 35,513 | 35,788 | 36,078 |
| Catering Costs | 86,260 | 113,014 | 154,530 | 198,352 | 253,706 |
| Exams | 25,750 | 60,000 | 70,000 | 120,000 | 130,000 |
| IT Costs | 73,540 | 89,305 | 113,743 | 128,984 | 163,235 |
| Central Services | 57,453 | 71,186 | 93,285 | 119,771 | 152,093 |
| Capital Costs | 2,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| Other Costs | 73,000 | 88,375 | 93,769 | 94,182 | 104,616 |
| Expenditure Total | 1,932,692 | 2,302,291 | 2,834,698 | 3,620,782 | 4,608,664 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 27,641 | 81,850 | 36,597 | 63,667 | 49,447 |
| Surplus/Income | 1.4% | 3.4% | 1.3% | 1.7% | 1.1% |

Surplus

The overall budget shows a surplus of £27k which equates to 1.4% of the total income. Department for Education rules stated before 2012 that you were allowed to carry forward up to 12% without any clawback, the Academy Financial Handbook now states 'these limits have now been removed so that ATs can keep money aside for when it is needed most and build up reserves'.

Income

The income is as per the statements from the Education Funding Agency (EFA) and has been checked and agreed.

The GAG pupil led income is £1.46m. This breaks down to £0.79m for Pre 16 students and £0.67m for Post 16 students.

In addition to this other income is derived from other sources such as Pupil Premium, Council Rates re-imbursement Catering income and any self-generated income via lettings, sponsorship or the provision of services.

As the staffing capacity increases and the Academy develops history and data it may be possible to attract more self-generated income via sponsorship, trusts or grants. At present the Academy is heavily reliant on GAG income, 94% of all income is GAG related against a local secondary school average of 83%.

With pressure on school budgets it may be advantageous to explore ways to supplement the regular funding streams.

Staff Costs

Staff costs to total expenditure are budgeted to be 72%, against a local sponsored secondary academy average of 69%. This comparison can be misleading as UTC's may have smaller class sizes than average secondary schools therefore having a higher teacher/pupil ratio.

The staff costs include the following;

- All current staff with salary increases where applicable
- Newly appointed teaching staff starting in September
- Support staff roles currently being recruited (the top of each range advertised has been used)

There is a provision for supply staff to cover absences.

Facilities Costs

The average local sponsored secondary academy will spend 7.7% of its total expenditure on premises or occupancy costs, the UTC is forecast to spend 8.4%. Included within the premises costs will be a number of fixed or non-pupil led costs such as rates, utilities and alarm systems servicing and maintenance, as the pupil numbers grow the income and expenditure will increase and the cost of these items as a percentage of the whole expenditure will decrease, in 2020/21 the forecast percentage is 7.7%.

Economies of Scale

The UTC is part of the South Bank Academies multi-academy trust and as the multi-academy trust grows and develops there will be opportunities to take advantage of its increased size by contracting services and supplies across the Trust rather than schools doing so individually.

The following services have been procured using this bargaining power creating savings for each school, the MAT currently consists of the UTC and the Academy of Engineering South Bank:

 Catering – A one-year contract has been agreed for both sites with JPL Catering. They have been the catering supplier for the UTC since inception and had a year left on their existing contract. They were the preferred choice of both Principals and the Chief Executive.

A tendering process will be put in place for a longer term contract starting in September 2018.

- Cleaning The UTC required a cleaning service for their new building and the Academy were experiencing operational problems with their existing supplier. A tendering process took place and the contract was given to AIM Cleaning Services.
- Employment Law, Education Law, Payroll and Health and Safety Advice All provided by Judicium Education.

Other costs

Other costs such as regular office supplies and photocopying have been based on historic costs and then extrapolated by their leading factor such as student or staffing numbers.

Ratios

| Ratios | | | | | | Sponsored Secondary Average |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| Surplus to GAG | 1.7% | 4.0% | 1.4% | 1.9% | 1.1% | Between 0% - 5% |
| Staff Costs to Total Expenditure | 72% | 70% | 70% | 70% | 71% | 69% |
| Occupancy Costs to GAG (Inc. Start Up Grants) | 8.4% | 8.9% | 7.8% | 7.7% | 7.1% | 7.7% |
| GAG Income as % of Total Income | 94% | 94% | 93% | 93% | 93% | 83% |
| No of Students | 187 | 245 | 335 | 430 | 550 | |
| Teaching Staff FTE | 16.60 | 19.60 | 21.90 | 30.40 | 39.00 | |
| All Staff FTE | 30.20 | 37.60 | 39.90 | 51.40 | 68.50 | |
| Teaching Staff % | 55% | 52% | 55% | 59% | 57% | 52% |

Some of the ratios and percentages have already been stated within the report but it is interesting to benchmark UTC's budget and forecast against the Local Secondary Average which has been collected via the DfE benchmarking data. This will show any strange discrepancies and also allow some contextual analysis on the figures.

Surplus to GAG – All years are within the average. Whilst it is good practice to carry forward reserves it should be remembered the revenue income received should be spent on the current cohort and not stockpiled.

Occupancy Costs – At present our students numbers are below the fully occupied level. This will mean that a larger than normal proportion of the costs over the first few years have gone towards the maintenance of the site, these costs will be items such as utility bills and statutory maintenance of equipment are fixed costs and cannot be avoided. As shown the percentage against income decreases from 8.4% to 7.1% over the five years.

As the academy building is newer than most other schools the utility and occupancy costs may be lower as a percentage of total expenditure when full.

Pupil Numbers

The budget is based on the following 2017/18 pupil numbers, these numbers were submitted to the DfE and approved for funding;

| | Pupil Nos. |
|---------|------------|
| Pre 16 | 86 |
| Post 16 | 101 |
| | |
| Total | 187 |

At present the pupil numbers look achievable and may exceed the submitted number. In September if the pupil numbers are more than is submitted/funded then the UTC will need to request additional funding from the DfE.

There are still marketing opportunities to increase the Pre 16 cohort at the UTC as this is a difficult year group to attract as it is not a natural entry level. Post 16 admissions for the UTC are higher than expected.

Forecast 2018/19 to 2021/22

All future years show a surplus and are based on current funding. If a new funding formula is introduced by the government then this will need to be reviewed.

It is important to remember that future year's forecasts are indicative and can alter as they are affected by student numbers and emergent strategies. They do however show that the Academy is planning well for the future, is financially secure and able to anticipate and solve any future problems.

Below is shown the detail for the budget at the UTC

| | 2017/18 | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Pre-16 School Budget Share | 785,373 | As per Income Statement |
| 16-19 School Budget Share | 673,630 | As per Income Statement |
| Pupil Premium | 38,700 | Based on 50% of KS4 |
| Start Up Grant Part A | 182,500 | As per Income Statement |
| Sponsorship: Not for Capital Purposes | 200,000 | Transitional Funding |
| SEN Funding | 36,000 | Assuming 3 students |
| Catering Income | 43,130 | Based on 50% of Expenditure |
| Bank Interest | 1,000 | Historical Data Used |
| Income | 1,960,333 | |

| Teachers - Salaries/Allowances | 888,260 | As per Salary Analysis |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Teachers - Bonuses | 10,000 | Bonuses |
| Agency Supply Cover - Teaching | 15,000 | As agreed with Principal |
| | 913,260 | |

| Too shine Assistants Colonias | 204.004 | As you Colony Analysis |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|
| Teaching Assistants - Salaries | | As per Salary Analysis |
| Premises Staff - Salaries | | As per Salary Analysis |
| Increases 1% | | 1% Increases |
| Increases PM | | 10 Increases |
| Finance & Admin - Salaries | | As per Salary Analysis |
| | 446,666 | |
| | 45.000 | 0. 67 |
| Course Fees | | Staff Training |
| Recruitment Fees | | TES plus Agency fees |
| Staff Benefits | | Staff Travel, Health Scheme, Perkbox |
| | 40,612 | |
| Duilding Duciests /Maintenance | 20.000 | Detail peeded on an improve and a minima |
| Building Projects/Maintenance | | Detail needed on equipment servicing |
| Grounds Maintenance | | Small Grounds but fountain |
| Cleaning Contract | | As per quote |
| Cleaning Materials | | As per quote |
| Water/Sewerage Charges | | Estimated on experience |
| Utilities | | Estimated on experience |
| Rates | | Estimated on experience |
| Insurance | | Based on Pupil Numbers |
| | 137,151 | |
| | 40.000 | |
| Curriculum Budgets | 40,000 | As agreed with Principal |
| Professional Services - Non Educational | 12,000 | Legal, H&S, Payroll |
| Professional Services - Educational | | SEN, Additional Learning |
| Audit Costs | 5,000 | Based on Last Year |
| | 37,000 | |
| | | |
| Catering Food/Drink | 86,260 | Based on 200 meals on 190 days at £2.27 |
| Examination Fees | 25,750 | As agreed with Principal |
| | • | |
| IT Consumables - Educational | 30,000 | As agreed with Principal |
| IT Support Services | | Waiting on Quotes |
| | 73,540 | |
| | | |
| Central services Cost | 57,453 | 3.5% of Income |
| Furniture/Equipment | 2,000 | Repairs contingency |
| | _,,,,, | ., |
| Stationery | | As agreed with Principal |
| Advertising/Marketing | | As agreed with Principal |
| Bank Charges | 500 | Historical Data Used |
| Trips Travel Costs | 7,500 | As agreed with Principal |
| Bursaries | 15,000 | As agreed with Principal |
| | 73,000 | |
| | 4 000 000 | |
| Expenditure | 1,932,692 | |

South Bank Academies (Central Services)

Introduction

Each school pays 3.5% of its GAG income to the Trust. The Trust at present consists of a CEO whose salary is subsidised in whole by the Sponsor, a Trust Business manager and an Executive Administration Assistant.

The Trust provides the following to the schools:

- Financial management
- Clerking and Compliance management
- Procurement expertise
- Additional marketing opportunities

The table below shows the budget for 2017/18 and a forecast for the following four years. Central Service income from the schools is shown as negative expenditure. As the Trust grows there may be the need to employ additional staff from 2018/19 onwards.

| Income | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| GAG Income | - | - | - | - | - |
| Start Up Grants | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Government Income | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Income | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prospective Clawback | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Grant | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income Total | - | - | - | - | - |
| Expenditure | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
| Teaching Salaries | - | 58,030 | - | - | - |
| Other Salaries | 158,690 | 158,690 | 261,954 | 294,280 | 300,790 |
| Other Staff Costs | - | - | - | - | - |
| Building Maintenance & Occupancy Costs | - | - | - | - | - |
| Curriculum Budgets | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consultancy/Professional Services | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Catering Costs | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Exams | - | - | - | - | - |
| IT Costs | - | - | - | - | - |
| Central Services | (212,453) | (268,554) | (315,143) | (350,442) | (386,937) |
| Capital Costs | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other Costs | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Expenditure Total | (5,763) | (3,834) | (5,188) | (8,162) | (38,147) |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | 5,763 | 3,834 | 5,188 | 8,162 | 38,147 |
| Surplus/Central Services | 2.7% | 1.4% | 1.6% | 2.3% | 9.9% |





Agenda Item 10

| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Paper title: | MAT Risk Register |
| Board/Committee: | South Bank Academies Board Meeting |
| Date of meeting: | 11 July 2017 |
| Author: | Jamie Waller, Projects Administrator |
| Sponsor: | CEO |
| Purpose: | To Review |
| Recommendation: | The Board are asked to note the presented MAT Risk |
| | Register, which has been reviewed by the Audit Committee. |

Executive Summary

The Risk Register 2016-17 highlights the key risks for South Bank Academies under six key categories;

- Compliance
- Finance
- Staffing
- Pupil Levels
- Infrastructure
- Reputation

The register calculates the risk level associated to each risk by combining the probability of the risk and the impact of the risk. Also listed are the actions that have been taken to mitigate each risk. Each risk will have an 'Owner' to take responsibility for the risk and ensure the mitigating actions are undertaken and deployed. The Register is an ongoing document and will be reviewed each year: all current risks will be re-assessed and emergent risks will be added. Each school within the Trust will have its own Risk Register that is aligned to the schools' operations.

Significant change from the last review:

• Staffing – Academy recruitment of permanent principal complete and recruitment targets met for 2017/18 has reduced the risk level from high to low.

High Risk areas currently are:

- Safeguarding as above.
- Compliance Health and Safety due to temporary accommodation at the UTC.
- Finance The new Fair Funding formula will mean changes to school funding. The full impact will not be known until we receive our 2017/18 funding statements.
- Pupils Current application numbers for Post 16 places at both schools and Year 10 students at the UTC are unknown.

Page 45

| Area | Risk | Impact description | Probability | Impact | Combined | Mitigating actions | Owner | Last | Date for | Status | Change |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|----------|
| - | ▼ | | assessme▼ | assessme 🔻 | risk leve 🔻 | ▼ | ~ | reviewe | next 🔻 | Ţ | |
| Compliance | Innappropriate Governance structure and organisation | Failure to achieve mission and objectives of the Trust. Poor decision making and information flow. | 1 | 3 | 3 | Department for Education oversight and approval. Periodic Ofsted inspection. Qualified and experienced Governance support. | Chair | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Compliance | Inadequate level of challenge and ineffective local governance affects Trust overall | Failure to achieve mission and objectives of the Trust. Poor decision making and information flow. Risk of one establishment not following Trust procedures. | 1 | 3 | 3 | Representation of the Trust on local governing bodies. Annual review of local governing bodies including external audit. | Chair | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Compliance | Serious breach of health & safety regulation or disability legislation occurs | Risk of litigation and Reputational risk. | 2 | 3 | 6 | Policies and procedures consistent with relevant legislation. Independent audit on Health and Safety and Policies. Regular reports to the board. Adequate insurance cover. Probability rating currently higher than normal due to temporary building used by UTC. | | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Safeguarding | Safeguarding incident at one of the schools. | Risk to reputation nationally and locally, DrE and HSE intervention depending on scale of the event. | 2 | 3 | 6 | All schools employ the services of experiened staff with appropriate safeguarding qualifications. All staff and governors are given safeguarding and prevent training on induction. All students and staff complete e-safety training and firewalls are in place to secure all ICT networks. | CEO | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Finance | Changes to Funding via Government policy | Any changes could cause a loss in income. | 2 | 3 | 6 | Monitoring of Government policy, Long term forecasts, Financial expertise at Operational and Trustee level | Trust Business Manager/CEO | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Finance | Poor financial performance by one or more school | A deficit or liabilities in one or more schools will affect the Trust financial viability and could have significant risk for finance and cash flow and action by DfE | 1 | 3 | 3 | Trust financial controls and procedures will be set and communicated to all staff. Monthly Management accounts, Schedule of delegation, Budget monitoring by LGB and the Trust, Internal and External Audit. Regular reports to Trust members. Financial expertise at Operational and Trustee level | Trust Business Manager/CEO | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Finance | Financial controls and systems are not adequate or maintained | Risk that policies and procedures are not followed and that value for money is not considered in procurement and staff costs. | 2 | 2 | 4 | Trust financial controls and procedures will be set and communicated to all staff. Monthly Management accounts, Schedule of delegation, Budget monitoring by LGB and the Trust, Internal and External Audit Regular reports to Trust members. Financial expertise at Operational and Trustee level | Truct Ducinosc | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |

| Staffing | Failure to recruit key management posts and Inadequate competency of staff within the Trust or the Schools | Staff not able to carry out their duties, poor management and decision making, poor quality of teaching and learning leading to poor student outcomes. Unsatisfactory Ofsted grading leading to reputational risk. | 1 | 3 | 3 | Thorough recruitment programme, comprehensive professional development, Strong Appraisal system. Recruitment of specialist staff for key positions. | CEO/Principals | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | + |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|------|----------|
| Staffing | Inadequate number of staff within the Trust or the Schools | Inadequate teaching and learning and student support. | 1 | 3 | 3 | Monthly monitoring of staffing levels from all schools, independent exit interviews for all staff, comprehensive recruitment processes. | CEO/Principals | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Pupils | Failure to recruit sufficient number of pupils in Trust schools | Reduction in pupil led income which could lead to cash flow or long term financial problems. | 2 | 3 | 6 | capacity and effective admissions processes. Monthly reports on pupil numbers for monitoring. Benchmarking against local rival | CEO/Principals | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Pupils | Poor students outcomes in Trust schools | Poor examination results could cause a reputational and financial risk. Detrimental to student futures/careers. | 2 | 2 | 4 | Teaching and Learning constantly monitored and reported. Termly attainment reporting sent to management and pupils. Principal reports to local governing body. | CEO/Principals | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Infrastructure | Land and Buildings are fit for purpose. | Damage to property due to poor maintenance could cause disruption to business continuity and cause damage to pupils learning and Trust reputation | 1 | 3 | 3 | Each school will have a maintenance programme and this will be assesses and monitored by a Trust staff member. Adequate budgets for building maintenance are provided. Regular site checks by facilities team and external audits. | Manager/CEO | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Infrastructue | New School Projects embarked on without appropriate design and construction. Insufficient due diligence undertaken for going concerns. | Existing school could transfer reputational or financial risks and new schools need an appropriate learning environment. | 2 | 2 | 4 | Professional and independent advice sought before any project undertaken. | Trust Business Manager/CEO | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
| Infrastructure | Failure to safeguard school or academy assets to theft or damage by third party | No provision for security or prevention systems or inadequate insurance causing financial risk or risk to student safety | 2 | 2 | 4 | All schools will have adequate security systems and budgetary allowance for upkeep and maintenance. Asset registers at each establishment are monitored and auditted regularly. Adequate insurance is provided. | Trust Business Manager/CEO | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |

| Reputation | High profile event in one school affects Trust overall | Risk to reputation nationally and locally depending on scale of the event. | 1 | 3 | 3 | Critical Incident plan for each school will list what should happen and delegation of duties in the event of an emergency situation. | CEO | Feb-17 | May-17 | Open | → |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|--------|------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Risk Calculation | | Probability | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact | 1 low | 2 medium | 3 high | | | | | | | | |
| 1 low | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 medium | 2 | 4 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 high | 3 | 6 | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Key - Areas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safeguarding | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Finance | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Staffing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pupil Levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reputation | | | | | | | | | | | |





| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | |
| Paper title: | Assurance visits report |
| Board/Committee: | South Bank Academies Board Meeting |
| Date of meeting: | 11 June 2017 |
| Author: | D Phoenix, Chair |
| Purpose: | To note |
| Recommendation: | The Board to note the assurance visit by the Chair, CEO and one Independent Director, to South Bank Engineering UTC. |

Board visit to Academy: 6th June 2017

Present: Prof. D Phoenix (Chair)

Mr. Tony Giddings (Independent Board member)

The panel received the school self-assessment form and three year strategy before the visit. The visit involved a class observation and a tour. A meeting with student representatives, the Principal, and members of staff were also held. It was noted that the self-evaluation format used was different to that of the Academy and could benefit from the approach to RAG rating used at its sister institution hence the CEO may wish to look at sharing good practice.

Class observation (English) - mixed ability class, showed very good engagement across all abilities and the teacher was actively using questions to ensure understanding and reference to previous work. The session was utilising an applied focus interpreting Shakespeare in the modern day and students showed good linkage to wider concepts. Behavior was good.

Class observation (Maths) – mixed ability class looking at vectors. Topic was complex and whilst there was good evidence of the teacher recapping key points there was little evidence of triangulation in terms of group understanding. Whilst the examples used were applied greater use of group work and peer-to peer learning could have been used to reinforce learning – the Principal indicated this was a revision session but it could have lended itself well to more interactive learning approaches. Behavior was good.

The students from year 10 and 12 were good school ambassadors and positive about the level of support given. Year 12 felt they would benefit from more advice on future careers and university. Some year 12 students were concerned about the length of the school day as they felt it left insufficient time for independent study. It was noted university Ambassadors would be arranged to visit. Students were aware of their target grades and received feedback on progress. Year 10 noted they would like greater feedback and felt they would like more access to work based experience if possible. Students were positive about the community feel of the School and looking forward to the new building which they hoped would give more exposure to practical work.

The staff team were positive about the school and the pupils and felt good progress was being made. There was good access they felt to student data that enabled active monitoring of student progress. Staff highlighted the way in which they had come together as a team to help overcome challenges of start-up and they felt this led to a good community feel

The Principal was pleased with progress and was clearly having an impact. There was significant positivity about recruitment but whilst challenges around recruitment were noted the level of confidence may be overly optimistic given outcomes at other UTCs. The Principal emphasised some of the challenges caused by the temporary accommodation and indicated contingency were in place for sept start depending on handover of new build. Good progress was noted with respect to recruitment of staff. We noted that there remained some variability in teaching delivery and a need to maintain a focus on this – especially as staff numbers increased – to ensure consistent quality.

Overall good progress seems to be made and the staff and students are dealing well with the limitations caused by the accommodation. There is potential to take a more structured and risk based analysis of progress in terms of self-evaluation materials but the areas identified as priorities for development seemed appropriate. There does need to be a continued focus on recruitment of both staff and students as well as consistency of teaching. The structure of the school day to help develop independent study – especially for year 12 is worth review.



| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Paper title: | Board Committees and LGBs report of decisions |
| Board/Committee | South Bank Academies Board Meeting |
| Date of meeting: | 11 July 2017 |
| Author: | Pervena Singh, Clerk to South Bank Academies |
| Purpose: | To update the South Bank Academies Board of Directors on the schools local governing body discussions. |
| Recommendation: | To note |

Executive Summary

A summary of the discussions at each local governing body is provided for information. Papers for these meetings were circulated to directors. Minutes will be circulated to directors when available. The South Bank Academies Board of Directors is requested to note the reports.

Summary of Board Committee discussions

Remuneration Committee - 11 July 2017

The Committee will be discussing;

- The terms of reference, and will propose recommendations to the Board to approve changes to the terms of reference.
- · Remuneration packages and objectives of key management personnel.

The Committee will be asked to approve;

The Company pay policy

Audit Committee - 6 July 2017

The Committee will be discussing;

- Internal audit function
- MAT risk register
- School's risk register
- MAT assurance report

The Committee will be asked to approve;

- External audit plan for the 2017/2018
- Anti-fraud policy
- Speak up policy

Summary of Local Governing Committee discussions

University Academy of Engineering Local Governing Body – 21 June 2017

Ahead of the LGB meeting the governors and head of departments had an informal meeting without the Principal present. The meeting allowed for governors to understand the developments in each department area, the challenges they face and the student progression and achievements.

The LGB discussed

Principal's Report

The Principal provided an update on safeguarding, behaviour and attendance, progress data and performance summary, staffing, and building updates and operational review.

OfSTED Feedback

• The LGB discussed in length the draft Ofsted report which was still being finalised. The LGB noted the key findings and areas that require further development.

Student Recruitment for September 2017

The LGB discussed the number of applications received at the Academy.

Pupil Premium

 The LGB discussed the pupil premium report and requested greater disclosure of the impact of premium expenditure.

The LGB noted the following items

- Report on discussions at the Learning and Teaching Committee and the Finance and General Purposes committee meetings.
- Internet safety item which confirmed that no breached of internet safety procedures had occurred since the previous meeting.
- Academy's risk register
- May 2017 Financial Management Report the LGB noted the management report, following recommendation from the Finance and General Purposes committee review.
- The governors' visit forms.

This being the last meeting of the interim Principal, the governors thanked him for his contribution to the school and the successful Ofsted inspection.

South Bank Engineering UTC Local Governing Body - 16 May 2017

Ahead of the LGB meeting the governors and head of departments had an informal meeting without the Principal present. The meeting allowed for governors to understand the developments in each department area, the challenges they face and the student progression and achievements.

The LGB discussed:

South Bank Academies Update Report

• The CEO provided a verbal update from the MAT, which included discussion on school policies, pay scales and procedures.

Principal's Report

• The Principal provided a report covering the quality of teaching, learning and assessment, personal development, behaviour and welfare, outcomes for children and learners, effectiveness of leadership and management and marketing and recruitment.

DfE Visit Feedback

• The Principal provided an overview following the feedback from the DfE's visit which took place on 8th March 2017. The report covered strategic planning, governance and leadership, curriculum, teaching and learning, student care, support and safeguarding, education planning standards, and progress tracking.

Self-evaluation form & development plan

 The LGB discussed the Self-evaluation form & development plan, which needed to be more closely aligned with South Bank Academies MAT strategic plan.

The LGB noted the following items

- Report on discussions at the Learning and Teaching Committee and the Finance and General Purposes committee meetings
- March 2017 Financial Management Report the LGB noted the management report, following recommendation from the Finance and General Purposes committee review.
- The governors' visit forms





| | CONFIDENTIAL |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Paper title: | South Bank Academies effectiveness review |
| Board/Committee | South Bank Academies Board |
| Date of meeting: | 11 July 2017 |
| Author: | Pervena Singh, Governance Assistant |
| Executive/Operations sponsor: | Michael Broadway, Company Secretary |
| Purpose: | Discussion |
| Recommendation: | The meeting is requested to note the findings of the governance effectiveness review. |

Introduction

A South Bank Academies light-touch self-effectiveness review of the Board and Local Governing Bodies was undertaken in June 2017.

In addition, members of the Board and Local Governing Bodies were each asked to complete an individual skills matrix as a way of identifying any skills gaps.

The survey and skill audit was based on questions from both the National Governing Association and the Key for school governors.

Findings

South Bank Academies Board - Response rate: 10 responses out of 11

Whilst there were positive responses to the majority of questions within the survey, there were some areas for improvement, as follows:

Question 2: The Trust Board has a clear vision and strategy – 60% undecided or disagreed

Question 4: The structure of the Trust from its members to school level governance delivers good two-way communications – 90% undecided or disagreed.

Question 10: Trustee performance: The Chair carries out an annual review of each trustee's contribution to the Board's performance and ensures that each trustee is investing in his/her own development – 60% undecided or disagreed.

Question 17: The Trustee Board understand its schools' performance data – 60% undecided or disagreed.

Local Governing Bodies - Response rate: 12 responses out of 16

The responses to the majority of questions within the survey were positive. A few have been highlighted below;

Question 3: The local governing body has the right skills to be effective. 81% strongly agreed or somewhat agreed.

Question 7: I am supported to attend training and development session to carry out my role effectively. 81% strongly agreed or somewhat agreed.

Question 11: The governing body effectively challenges the performance of the Principal. 72.8% strongly agreed or somewhat agreed.

Question 13: The Chairs of the governing body subcommittees show strong and effective leadership. 82% strongly agreed or somewhat agreed.

Question 5: Every governor makes regular contribution to the performance of the governing body. 83% strongly agreed or somewhat agreed.

Individual Skills Assessment

South Bank Academies Board - Response rate: 8 responses out of 9

Local Governing Bodies - Response rate: 8 responses out of 13

All skills areas are adequately represented on the Board and LGB each has at least one director/ governor who agreed or strongly agree that they possess the skills, except, Question 11: I have experience of curriculum development, school assessment and progress/attainment. However, the newly appointed director will bring this skill to the Board.

Next steps

For information, the LSBU Board of Governors is establishing a working group to look at the group governance structure. The results of this effectiveness review of South Bank Academies will feed into the work of the working group.

In due course, the working group will make recommendations to the LSBU Board of Governors on any changes to the governance structure of group subsidiary companies, including South Bank Academies. Any proposed changes for South Bank Academies will then be brought to the South Bank Academies Board for approval.

To improve relationships between the Board and the LGBs it is recommended that a joint event is held with directors and governors after the September 2017 board meeting. The board is requested to note the outcomes of the effectiveness review and the next steps.