Decision of Group Audit and Risk Committee by email on Thursday, 27 February 2020

No.	Item	Pages	Exec Lead
1.	Declarations of interest		DB
2.	TRAC (T) Return	3 - 14	RF

Circulated to: Duncan Brown (Chair), John Cole, Mark Lemmon and Rob Orr

This page is intentionally left blank

	CONFIDENTIAL
Paper title:	Transparent Approach to Costing – TRAC(T) Sign off
Board/Committee	Audit Committee
Date of meeting:	27 February 2020
Author:	David Kotula, Reporting Analyst
Sponsor:	Richard Flatman, Chief Financial Officer
Purpose:	For approval
Recommendation:	This is a sub-analysis of the TRAC return for 2018/19 that has already been approved by Audit committee. The Executive recommends, based on the assurances provided herein, that the committee approves the attached return which will be made to the OfS by Friday 28 th February 2020.

Executive Summary

The Transparent Approach to Costing (Teaching) return - TRAC(T), is a sub-analysis of the Transparent Approach to Costing (TRAC) return and has been made annually since 2007.

TRAC (T) has three main aims:

- to enable higher education institutions (HEIs) to understand their own costs better, so that they can use cost information for planning, decision-making and management;
- to inform OfS allocation of funds for teaching;
- to assist in understanding the total costs of sustainable teaching.

A reconciliation of the total costs in TRAC(T) to the figures published in the TRAC return is shown in table A – Source Data The return analyses the costs of OfS fundable teaching into HESA cost centres and then divides this cost by the total student numbers in each of those cost centres as reported in the HESA return to give *Subject-FACTS* for each of the current HESA cost centres (Full Average Annual Subject-related Cost of Teaching a HEFCE-fundable FTE student in a HESA academic cost centre). This output forms table B of the return (see Appendix 1).

Assurances regarding process

The following assurances are provided to Committee with regard to process:

1. Reconciliation to accounts

- The TRAC(T) return is an annual return based on the teaching element of the TRAC annual return. The basis for the 2018/19 return was the financial accounts for year ending 31/07/2019.
- The financial information used is a sub-set of the TRAC return. All costs that do not relate to publicly funded teaching are extracted. This information includes costs down to individual staff level for teaching staff and to cost centre level for school support staff. The individual staff costs are extracted from establishment data used in the budgeting process. All figures are reconcilable back to the published accounts and the 2018/19 TRAC return.
- Note that the costs include the Publicly Funded Teaching (PFT) element of the Margin for Sustainability (MSI) cost adjustment added under the TRAC requirements to provide a sustainable cost base. This increased our total cost of delivery this year by £17.3M.
- 2. Compliance with guidelines/regulations
- The return has been prepared by the University's Reporting Analyst in accordance with the regulations set down by OfS for the preparation of the TRAC(T) return. This includes any updated regulations or issues raised at TRAC self-help groups organised by the TRAC Development Group and BUFDG.
- There has been a change from this year with regards to the report sign off. The final report needs Audit committee approval prior to final sign off.
- The core costing information is based on the amount of time spent teaching for each academic member of staff. This is derived from a Workload Planning Tool. The results have been reviewed and verified by school managers to allow for any adjustments to be made prior to using the data in the TRAC return.
- The TRAC(T) requirement is for all costs to be allocated based on the relevant HESA Cost centres. Staff HESA cost centres are derived from a report collated by the HR department and then reviewed by school managers at a division level.
- Non-Staff costs are derived from the TRAC return that is sourced from the Agresso finance system at a cost centre level. HESA cost centres are applied on a department level.
- The robustness and accuracy of the data is verified during a reconciliation process by a suitably qualified colleague.
- Our data return sign-off protocols have been complied with, including review and approval by the Data Steward and the Head of Planning, Performance and Assurance before signature by the Vice Chancellor.
- The overall Subject-Facts cost per student has risen from £9,513 to £10,150.
- This is partially due to the switch from nursing being funded through NHS Contract to students self-funding which created a significant change in the model.

- To mitigate these changes I have undertaken a review of individual HESA cc's in the following schools. Engineering, Arts & Creative and Built Environment and made high-level adjustments where necessary.
- The model is reliant on a consistent approach between how staff and student FTE's are applied to HESA cc's. A review of how these correlate will be made this year, with the help of the Planning, Performance and Assurance team (PPA).
- We will also review the model used in TRAC(T), specifically around the way that central costs and the MSI adjustment are apportioned to direct costs during the automation of the process into the financial planning automation process using TM1 software.

The committee is requested to approve the attached return made to OfS.

This page is intentionally left blank

TRAC(T) Reasonableness Check

Executive Summary

As part of the process of completing the annual TRAC(T) return a Board Committee or a member thereof is specifically required to review the results for reasonableness.

The reasonableness requirement are based on the TRAC and TRAC(T) requirements published in the TRAC Guidance version 2.4. The checks for TRAC(T) are underlined by those undertaken for the TRAC return.

The key requirements

- The Annual TRAC and TRAC(T) results should be aligned with broad expectations for the institution, taking account of the additional volatility in the financial accounts introduced by the implementation of the accounting standard FRS 102 for 2015-16 and future periods, e.g. through the inclusion of certain capital and investment related items in TRAC income and the inclusion of pension charges, restructuring costs and other material costs in TRAC expenditure.
- 2. TRAC-related benchmarking (Annual TRAC and TRAC(T)) should be used to gain assurance over the reasonableness of the results when compared to similar institutions.
- 3. High level adjustments should be rationalised and underpinned with appropriate evidence. It is not expected that such adjustments should recur in subsequent years as corrective action should be taken to avoid the need for further adjustments, unless required for consistency under FRS 102.
- 4. Material errors should be subject to corrective action. If these are identified after submission, resubmission of the TRAC return should be agreed with UKRI and the OfS or the respective Funding Council, or for the TRAC(T) return, with the OfS or relevant Funding Council only.
- 5. TRAC(T) The full economic cost of teaching is derived from Annual TRAC.
- 6. TRAC(T) Cost drivers should be robust at academic department level. i.e. Student and staff FTE's should be reviewed.
- 7. Non-OfS Funding and Non subject-related costs should be removed at Academic department level.

Outcomes:

1. Expectations for TRAC(T)

The OfS Fundable costs have increased by 25% from £65.5M to £81.65M, this is due to the transition of nursing students from NHS funding to Student Loan Funding a 218% increase from £5.5M to £17.8M and a general increase in costs for non-Health of 5.2% totalling £3.2M. These numbers are in line with the student FTE's increase of 3% for non-health and 217% for Health.

TRAC related Benchmarking

Our university is deemed to be part of Peer Group E for TRAC(T). The benchmarking for 2017/18 is as follows. The low level of OfS Fundable activities (58.0%) is due to atypical NHS Contract activities LSBU undertakes. For 2018/19 this number is 70.9% and it is expected to rise further as the final cohorts transfer to SLC funding.

A.2 Teaching costs by activity					
7	Institution		Peer g	roup E	
			1st	Median	3rd
8		Average	Quartile	value	Quartile
9 % of Teaching					
D NPFT	7.2%	11.6%	5.5%	7.5%	11.8%
1 non-OfS/FC-fundable	34.9%	16.5%	8.3%	15.6%	26.4%
2 OfS/FC-fundable	58.0%	71.9%	60.7%	74.7%	82.8%
4 % of OfS/FC-fundable Teaching					
5 bursaries	0.4%	2.6%	1.5%	2.4%	3.6%
5 other non-subject	9.8%	5.1%	3.9%	4.9%	6.1%
7 subject-related	89.8%	92.3%	90.2%	92.5%	94.1%
2					

High level adjustments

High level adjustments were made in the Schools of Engineering (ENG), Arts and Creative Industries (ACI, Built Environment (BEA) and Health (HSC). These were made after reviewing the student HESA Cost Centre allocation for ENG and the Staff HESA cost centre allocations for ACI, BEA and HSC.

2. Material Errors

No material errors have been noted and any errors after submission will be corrected.

Institution: London South Bank University UKPRN: 10004078			
TRAC Peer Group: E			
Declaration by Accountable Officer ¹			
I confirm that the costs, income and charge-out ra (Version 2.4, July 2019, https://www.trac.ac.uk/tra	ate information reported in the attached return have beer acguidance/).	n prepared in accordance with the TRAC(T) requi	rements as set out in the TRAC guidance
reviewed the results of the tests for reasonablene	e against each requirement listed in the guidance has be ess and has either confirmed compliance or has drawn u 4.3. I confirm that the Board Committee has lay members	p an action plan for any areas where the institutio	
With reference to the TRAC(T) data loaded on:	TRAC(T) data not yet uploaded		
		Date of meeting at which compliance was	
Name of Board committee which confirmed comp		(Please enter in the format of dd/mm/yyyy)	
Signed: (Accountable Officer ¹)			
Name:			
INATIIC.			
Title:			
Date:			
To be returned no later than noon on 28 Febru	uary 2020. Earlier submissions are encouraged.		

The name and title of the Accountable Officer¹ must be completed before the return is uploaded to the OfS portal. The results file should then be printed and signed by the Accountable Officer¹. Please scan the signed hard copy and upload electronically to the OfS/Funding councils via the OfS portal. The OfS/Funding Councils do not require a paper copy.

¹ The Accountable Officer is a person, normally the head of institution, who reports to the OfS/Funding Council on behalf of the institution. The OfS definition of Accountable Officer is provided in the 'Regulatory Framework for higher education institutions'. The HEFCW definition of Accountable Officer is provided in the 'Financial Management Code'. The SFC refers to the Chief Executive Officer, rather than the Accountable Officer, in the 'Financial Memorandum with Higher Education Institutions'. The DFE definition of Accountable Officer is provided in the 'Financial Memorandum between the Department for the Economy (DfE) and the Northern Ireland Universities'. Links to each document are provided in the glossary at section 6.1 of the TRAC guidance (Version 2.4, July 2019, https://www.trac.ac.uk/tracguidance/).

Institution: London South Bank University
UKPRN: 10004078
TRAC Peer Group: E

This workbook contains two sections: A and B (in 2 worksheets) A provides source data for Subject-FACTS B is the calculation of Subject-FACTS

Sections A and B are **mandatory** (part of the TRAC(T) requirements as set out in the TRAC guidance section 4.3.5.2).

A Source data MANDATORY

This section should be completed by all institutions.

The purpose of this section is to provide a reconciliation to the figures returned under annual TRAC.

	0000		
	£000		
Total expenditure (derived from audited financial statements)	146,504		per annual TRAC return
plus Sustainability adjustment (EBITDA for MSI)	17,342		per annual TRAC return
gives TRAC costs	163,845		per annual TRAC return
less Research	17,843		per annual TRAC return
Other	20,909		per annual TRAC return (Other income generating activity plus Other Non-commercial activity)
girgs Teaching	125,093		per annual TRAC return
		% of Teaching	
Res NPFT	9,611	7.7%	per annual TRAC return
Non-OfS/Funding Council-fundable PFT	26,806	21.4%	
Shes OfS/Funding Council-fundable PFT	88,677	70.9%	
C		% of Funding Council-	
less Non-subject related		fundable PFT	
Funding proxy	6,915	7.8%	per funding table (Annex 4.3c or Annex 4.3d) (note 1)
Bursaries (note 2)	109	0.1%	actual costs and charges included in financial statements
Total non-subject related	7,024	7.9%	
gives Subject-related costs of OfS/Funding Council-fundable provision	81,653		

Notes:

1. The funding proxy total should agree to the total costs of non-subject related areas where OfS/Funding Council funding is used as the proxy. This figure is provided at the bottom of the table in Annex 4.3c of the TRAC(T) guidance. The main exceptions to this are listed in Section 4.3.5.15 of the TRAC Guidance published in July 2019, (https://www.trac.ac.uk/tracguidance/).

Please note that the figures in Annex 4.3c are displayed to the nearest £ and need to be divided by 1000 before entering in this table.

Annex 4.3c for 2018-19 can be found on the TRAC website (https://www.trac.ac.uk/tracguidance/)

If you wish to enter a figure that is different to that provided in Annex 4.3c please provide reasons/explanation in the "Validation" worksheet or on a separate word document if necessary.

2. The non-subject related bursaries figure comprises the actual costs or charges made to the financial statements for bursaries, hardship payments and scholarships of OfS/Funding Council-fundable taught students. Please note that any scholarships relating to research students or non-OfS/Funding Council-fundable students should not be included in this figure - those are Research costs or non-OfS/Funding Council-fundable Teaching costs and should be deducted under the lines "less Research" or "less non-OfS/Funding Council-fundable PFT" or "less NPFT" in the table above. Also note that fee waivers should not be included in this figure – they should instead be netted off against income.

A.1 Cost recording methods	MANDATORY
Do you consider that you have met all of the TRAC requirements (once your figure	es have been benchmarked and reviewed for reasonableness)?
Please select Yes or No from the drop-down list	Yes
To inform their teaching funding methods, the OfS/Funding Councils need represe of informing the OfS/Funding Councils' teaching funding methods?	entative data for the sector (covering all subject areas) on the costs of different subjects. Do you believe that your TRAC(T) figures are fit for the purpose
Please select Yes or No from the drop-down list	Yes
Do you consider your figures to be robust at the level of department? (Robustness	s is defined as: meeting the TRAC requirements and recording academic time allocation data that are statistically robust at the level of department).
Please select Yes or No from the drop-down list	Yes
Do you produce a cost per student by department for use by institutional managers	s?
Please select Yes or No from the drop-down list	No
If you have reported that you recover more than 105% of your costs on PFT activit	ty on your Annual TRAC return, have you assessed the impact of this on your Subject-FACTS?
Please select Yes, No or N/A from the drop-down list	N/A

Validation passed

Institution: London South Bank University UKPRN: 10004078 TRAC Peer Group: E

B. Report to OfS/Funding Councils This section should be completed by all institutions. MANDATORY

The purpose of this section is to collect the information that may be used by the OfS/Funding Councils.

HESA academic cost centre	Price groups in use for 2018-19 reporting	Total subject-related costs (of OfS/Funding Council- fundable provision (a) £000	OfS/Funding Council- fundable student FTEs from HESA (b) FTEs	Subject-FACTS (c)=((a)/(b))*1000 £
101 Clinical medicine	A	0	0.00	0
	В	0	0.00	0
	Total	0	0.00	0
102 Clinical dentistry	AB	0	0.00	0
	Total	0	0.00	0
103 Nursing and allied health professions	В	5,340	539.47	9,898
	C1	7,884	825.03	9,556
	C2	3,908	410.79	9,514
104 Psychology and behavioural sciences	Total	17,132 3,932	1,775.29 317.94	9,650
105 Health and community studies		0,002	0.00	12,007
106 Anatomy and physiology		0	0.00	0
107 Pharmacy and pharmacology		0	0.00	0
108 Sports science and leisure studies 109 Veterinary science	А	788 0	72.32	10,903
veterinary science	B	0	0.00	0
	Total	0	0.00	0
110 Agriculture, forestry and food science		1,162	105.74	10,993
111 Earth, marine and environmental sciences		0	0.00	0
112 Biosciences		2,181	199.96 0.00	10,907
113 Chemistry 114 Physics		0	0.00	0
115 General engineering		Ő	0.00	0
116 Chomical anginoaring		1,950	168.73	11,559
117 Serial engineering 117 Peral, metallurgy and materials engineering		0	0.00	0
118 engineering 119 Electrical, electronic and computer engineering		4,708 3.114	514.09 234.89	9,158
120 (Ngchanical, aero and production engineering		3,114	310.01	10.654
121 Information technology, systems sciences and				
computer software engineering		2,444	186.23	13,124
122 Mathematics		0	0.00	0
123 Accitecture, built environment and planning 124 Geography and environmental studies		<u>10,716</u> 0	1,105.56	9,693
125 Area studies		0	0.00	0
126 Archaeology		0	0.00	C
127 Anthropology and development studies		0	0.00	C
128 Politics and international studies		0	0.00	C
129 Economics and econometrics 130 Law		3.946	0.00 329.96	11.959
131 Social work and social policy	C2	1.207	176.40	6.841
	D	21	3.04	6,992
	Total	1,228	179.44	6,844
132 Sociology		2,900 12,123	249.94	11,605
Business and management studies Catering and hospitality management		12,123	1,187.80 0.00	10,206
135 Education	C2	Ő	0.00	0
	D	2,245	251.03	8,942
	Total	2,245	251.03	8,942
136 Continuing education 137 Modern languages		0	0.00	0
137 Modern languages 138 English language and literature		0	0.00	0
139 History		Ő	0.00	0
140 Classics		0	0.00	0
141 Philosophy		0	0.00	0
142 Theology and religious studies 143 Art and design		0 4,600	0.00 468.85	9,812
143 Art and design 144 Music, drama, dance and performing arts		4,600	231.40	9,812
145 Media studies		1,277	155.62	8,206
Total in HESA academic cost centres		81,655	8,044.80	10,150
999 Cost centre not assignable			0.00	
Fotal		81,655	8.044.80	10,150

				for comparison	2017-18 data	
% change Subject-FACT	% change in OfS/Funding Council-fundable student FTEs	% change in subject-related costs	Revised FTEs English institutions only	Subject-FACTS (f)=((d)/(e))*1000	Funding Council- fundable student FTEs from HESA (e)	Total subject- related costs of Funding Council- fundable provision (d)
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
-1.9	152.1%	147.2%	213.99	10,094	213.99	2,160
-4.4	124.2%	114.2%	368.00	10,001	368.00	3,680
-4.19	74.1%	67.0%	236.00	9,918	236.00	2,341
-3.5	117.0%	109.4%	817.98	10,001	817.98	8,181
32.0	1.4%	33.8%		9,372	313.66	2,940
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
13.2	1.7%	15.2%		9,629	71.08	684
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
11.9	-22.7%	-13.5%		9,822	136.76	1,343
5.00	0.00/	0.4%		0	0.00	0
5.6	-3.0%	2.4%		10,333	206.17 0.00	2,130
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
16.6	-18.3%	-4.8%		9,915	206.53	2,048
				0	0.00	0
-5.2	5.8%	0.3%		9,658	485.91	4,693
36.6	40.0%	91.2%		9,709	167.81	1,629
16.9	-7.4%	8.2%		9,116	334.72	3,051
39.0	-1.2%	37.4%		9,444	188.41	1,779
00.0	1.270	011470		0	0.00	0
3.4	9.1%	12.8%		9,372	1,013.24	9,496
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
26.8	5.4%	33.6%		9.428	313.15	2.952
0.89	-10.1%	-9.3%		6,784	196.22	1,331
4.4	244.8%	259.9%		6,698	0.88	6
0.9	-9.0%	-8.2%		6,784	197.11	1,337
20.2	3.2%	24.1%		9,655	242.08	2,337
9.79	6.2%	16.4%		9,306	<u>1,118.70</u> 0.00	10,411
				0	0.00	0
-10.19	1.1%	-9.1%		9,945	248.40	2,470
-10.19	1.1%	-9.1%		9,945	248.40	2,470
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
				0	0.00	0
0.2	3.7%	3.9%		9,789	452.31	4,428
-13.8	-4.1%	-17.3%		9,541	241.23	2,301
-16.9	16.6%	-3.1%		9,870	133.49	1,318
6.7	16.8%	24.6%		9,513	6,888.75	65,530
	16.8%	24.6%		9,513	6,888.75 0.00	65,530

2017-18 data for comparison

Validation passed

Institution: London South Bank University	
UKPRN: 10004078	
TRAC Peer Group: E	

Notes:

1. Where students in one cost centre are funded across a number of price groups, please enter costs against the relevant price groups where possible. If this is not possible, enter figures in the Total line for that cost centre (over-riding the formula that is in the 'Total' cell).

2. The total costs in column (a) should agree with the total subject-related costs of Funding Council-fundable provision at the bottom of Section A.

3. The student FTEs in column (b) are defined in section 4.3.5.6 of the TRAC Guidance (v2.4) published in July 2019, (https://www.trac.ac.uk/tracguidance/). These are OfS/Funding Council-fundable student FTEs, excluding sandwich year-out students. If you require further information on how these FTEs have been
derived you should refer to the document "Useful links and information about the OfS data checking too" which can be found on the TRAC website https://www.trac.ac.uk/tracguidance/ofs-portal/. Providers in Wales and Northern Ireland please contact your Funding Council for further information on how these FTEs have been
derived you should refer to the document "Useful links and information about the OfS data checking too" which can be found on the TRAC website https://www.trac.ac.uk/tracguidance/ofs-portal/. Providers in Wales and Northern Ireland please contact your Funding Council for further information on how these FTEs have been
been derived

* More information on the Revised FTEs in cost centre 103 can be found under the FAQ: Pre-Registration Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health professions. Why has the student data for 2017-18 been updated? (https://www.trac.ac.uk/tracguidance/faq/)

Please use the box below, or a separate word document if you wish to provide commentary on the data above e.g. if you know reasons why any of the figures may be an outlier.

Please type directly into this comment box, rather than copying and pasting text. Pasting text may cause errors when you upload your return.

TRAC(T) return 2018-19 validation report

Your workbook has failed 1 validation check(s). For details please see the messages below.

Please ensure that your return shows 'Validation passed' for checks 1 to 7 before submitting your workbook to OfS.

1. The name and job title of the Accountable Officer who will be signing this return should be entered on the "Signoff Sheet" worksheet.

 Validation failed

2. Total subject-related c	costs of Funding Council-fundable	provision in Section A should equ	al those returned in Section B.
Validation passed	-		

3. The cost of bursaries should be completed in Section A. If you have a genuine reason for having no bursary costs please provide commentary in the box below. Validation passed

Comments box on no bursary costs.

4. Sub-section A.1 is mandatory and should be completed. Validation passed

5. Funding proxy figures provided in Section A should agree to the total provided in Annex 4.3c (England and NI)/Annex 4.3d (Scotland). If you have a genuine reason for altering the income proxy figure used (e.g. institutions with collaborative awards) please provide commentary on this in the box below.

Validation passed

Comments box on funding proxy differences.

6. Section B should not be showing cost centre(s) with costs but no students, or students but no costs. If it is, please amend or provide commentary on this in the box below.

Validation passed

Comments box on cost centre(s) with costs but no students, or students but no costs.

7. In Section B, the Subject-FACTS for all cost centres should not be the same.

Validation checks 8 to 13 will be carried out on submission of data to OfS. Please check your results package to ensure your data has passed these additional checks.

8. Total expenditure reported in Section A should equal total expenditure returned through the annual TRAC return in January 2020.
9. Sustainability adjustment (EBITDA for MSI) reported in Section A should equal the sustainability adjustment returned through the annual TRAC return in January 2020.
10. Research costs reported in Section A should equal Research costs returned through the annual TRAC return in January 2020.
11. Other costs reported in Section A should equal Other costs returned through the annual TRAC return in January 2020.
12. NPFT costs reported in Section A should equal NPFT costs returned through the annual TRAC return in January 2020.
13. The cost of bursaries reported in Section A should be less than or equal to the cost of bursaries returned in Table 8 of the OfS annual financial return in December

If you have uncovered errors in your 2018-19 annual TRAC return data submitted to OfS in January 2020 please email trac@officeforstudents.org.uk.