# Meeting of the Group Audit and Risk Committee

3.00 pm on Monday, 7 September 2020 via MS Teams

# Agenda

No.	Item	Pages	Presenter
5a)	Academic delivery update	75 - 100	DJ

## Date of next meeting 4.00 pm on Tuesday, 6 October 2020

Members: Duncan Brown (Chair), John Cole, Mark Lemmon and Rob Orr

Apologies: Natalie Ferer and Richard Flatman

In attendance: Pat Bailey, Alison Chojna, Kerry Johnson, Deborah Johnston, Nicole Louis, Marcelle Moncrieffe-Johnson, David Phoenix, Ed Spacey and James Stevenson

Internal auditors: Mathew Ring and Gemma Wright (BDO)

This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 5a

	CONFIDENTIAL
Paper title:	Update on course delivery 2020/21
Board/Committee:	Group Audit and Risk Committee
Date of meeting:	7 September 2020
Author(s):	Deborah Johnston, Pat Bailey, Marc Griffith,
Sponsor(s):	Deborah Johnston, PVC Education
	Pat Bailey, Provost
Purpose:	For noting
Recommendation:	The committee is requested to note the update on course delivery.

## **Executive summary**

The attached paper outlines preparation for blended delivery of semester one, 2020/21.

Details for all eight Schools are included as Appendix 1 for information.

## GARC September 2020: Update on Course Delivery 2020-21 (All Schools)

### Background

Preparation for a blended delivery of semester 1 2020-21 began in May 2020. The project was run as a workstream in the overall LSBU Recovery Operational Group. At the highest level, the approach sought to ensure that LSBU could deliver its existing suite of courses in a way that maintained: academic quality and standards; student outcomes, including by protected characteristic; and student and staff safety.

#### Key issues

The academic delivery workstream worked through the Academic Delivery Group (comprised of PVC Education, DESE from each Academic School and the Acting Director Teaching Quality and Enhancement). Working independently and with other working groups, the Academic Delivery Group:

- Oversaw necessary changes to the regulations and course specifications
- Supported the procurement and expansion of new DEL tools to enhanced the hybrid delivery capabilities
- Established LSBU expectations for the delivery of courses using both online and face to face methods
- Supported Organisation Development in its curation of training material on key online platforms
- Contracted with outside support for the transition of teaching and learning material in key modules
- Supported the Timetabling Workstream with its support for the assessment of teaching and learning activity falling into three bands: Category 1 (essential on-campus activity), Category 2 (desirable on-campus activity) and Category 3 (online activity).

### Overview and next steps to assure quality and outcomes

In the appendix to this report, detailed matrices are attached for all 8 Schools that form the University and the Institute of Health and Social Care. This report provides an overview against key criteria below. Overall key approaches and procedures to ensure quality and outcomes, in line with LSBU expectations, are in place in each of the reporting Schools, and each reported on their plans should new local or national lockdowns emerge.

However, following the presentations by Deans, it is clear that to ensure quality and outcomes are maintained, further action is needed in four areas:

- 1. To ensure that there is consistency in outcome, Schools need to audit the teaching materials provided on each module to ensure that it is in line with expectations. To deal with possible volatility (i.e. Local or national lockdowns, or full easing of restrictions), Schools should ask for academics to develop 3 weeks of teaching material in advance. This approach needs to be conveyed by Heads of Division, with the audit operationalised by DESEs. Deans should report back to the Provost and UMC in early October.
- There are excellent plans to monitor student feedback in some schools that could be adopted more widely and this will be supported centrally through access to Audience Response Technology (ART). PVC Education should develop a central standard for regular ART surveys of student bodies using available technology. This should be operationalised by

Module Leaders. Deans should provide oversight and report back to Provost and UMC at key dates in Semester 1.

- 3. Close observation of student engagement metrics is needed and this needs central support to use metrics from our VLE. ADG is meeting with DEL and Student Administration to understand the suite of possible metrics and its availability for use by module leaders.
- 4. Given the challenges faced by both new and continuing students, Personal Tutors will have a key role in helping to advise and signpost students. ADG will share good practice on Personal Tutoring and set standards for regular, thematic sessions.

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall	All schools reported being in touch with students. In some, this was well developed, with formal surveys, regular timetabled drop- ins and helplines.	All schools reported that they were planning a mixture of online and on campus delivery in order to meet learning outcomes and ensure the student experience. In many cases, on campus provision will extended beyond traditional working hours in order to allow for social distance and to stagger start and finish times.	All schools reported that they would adhere to LSBU Hybrid Learning Standards. Centrally we are recruiting an additional Academic developer and learning technology developer to boost central capacity to support the schools To achieve these, Schools were supporting staff to access central and local materials. Some schools were employing additional online support staff, while others were identifying blended learning champions. All schools reported that they would audit Moodle sites to ensure that standards are met in practice.
Student Voice How have students' views informed design?	All schools reported that they had surveyed their students to understand preferences and constraints with online learning and return to campus (either formally or through smaller or less formal methods).	All Schools had considered how they would meet learning outcomes and, where relevant, the requirements of PSRBs. All had also considered how their courses could be delivered in term of	Many schools reported that they would increase the opportunity for student feedback, either through surveys or focus groups. In at least one case, an engagement officer has been hired.
	There was evidence that this had varying degrees of influence on approaches to S1,	student needs. There has been careful thought about the extent to which learning	ADG will have continuing engagement with the SU through the regular meetings to ensure

#### Academic Delivery 2020/21 – Overall Assessment

	although in all cases Schools discussed the increased need to hear the student voice.	outcomes require that delivery must be on campus or can be online.	student concerns are heard.
Student Outcomes How has activity been designed to ensure awarding gaps are not increased?	Many schools were developing extra curricula activity to scaffold students, increase skills of all and to improve a sense of belonging. Some were engaged in awarding gap-research projects which involved students as partners.	Many schools intended to continue projects to decolonise curricula. Some were implementing the results of a TESTA exercise.	Some schools refer to staff training and development. Some highlighted the use of differentiated student metrics to understand how students with protected characteristics were progressing.
Support Progression How has activity been designed to support progression?	All Schools pointed to improved use of the personal tutor system so that students could directly receive academic advice and directed to effective sources of pastoral support. In some cases, there were changes to the allocation approach, increased ability to meet students, specialist helplines or thematic approaches.	The implementation of TESTA in some schools is hoped to reduce academic workloads and academic failure rates. Many schools pointed to particular briefings carried out for this academic year in order to plan for the challenges faced by students.	Many schools hoped to measure engagement more rapidly and some wanted to develop metrics to highlight students at risk.

# DEBORAH JOHNSTON, MARC GRIFFITH, PAT BAILEY 27<sup>th</sup> August 2020

## **APPENDIX 1: SCHOOL DELIVERY MATRICES**

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall What is the overall approach? VISION: SPACE: (Supportive, Planned, Accessible, Consistent, Engaging) TO LEARN TO SUPPORT TO PROGRESS	All course directors are in touch with the students and are running webinars and online sessions for returning students to outline the new approach and answer any questions. Course teams are preparing their face to face induction session (or online if relevant). Deputy Dean will be onsite all week 0 to support staff and meet and greet students. New (and continuing) students are being invited to the online induction session. Ongoing it is planned for course directors to regularly engage with updating student comms. The personal tutors are being briefed on improved engagement strategies and a personal tutor guide is being produced. The DoO meets monthly with the student reps and an ongoing action plan is in place with 'You Said, We Did'. This is accompanied by a student newsletter for reps to share with wider cohort. The deputy dean and relevant Hod is meeting the upcoming final year students to review student	Category 1 engagement will apply to all mandatory training to ensure students are equipped for placement and meet regulatory requirements. ACP, Physio, Sport Rehab and Chiro also have scheduled face to face session due to the practical nature of their courses. Other courses are looking at frontloading academic work in semester 1 with a focus on practical sessions in semester 2 where access to the campus may be facilitated more easily. Staff are utilising the new digital resources and are planning their teaching sessions to take account of best practice. A school wide teams site has been set up to share best practice with teaching and learning resources amongst colleagues. The course plans have been revised and reviewed.	A new digital lead post is being interviewed on 7 <sup>th</sup> Sep. One of their main aims is to support staff in using the technology to address best practice pedagogical approaches. We currently have a range of crib sheets with advice for utilizing the key tools. This role will undertake an initial TNA to review the support required and an audit mid semester 1 to review how the tools are being used and make further recommendations. The mid module questionnaires and course board feedback will also triangulate with this review. Moodle analytics will be used to monitor engagement.

# A1. Academic Delivery 2020/21 – School: Allied and Community Health (currently Department of Allied Health, Social Care and Advanced Practice)

	for improvement. Deputy Dean drop ins will be timetabled throughout the year.		
Student Voice How have students' views informed design?	A monthly meeting with course reps has been set up in semester 2. Feedback from students has been acted upon and fed into an ongoing action plan. These meetings will continue alongside timetabled deputy dean drop ins The student voice will be a top priority for the department/school in verbal and digital formats.	Face to face induction sessions planned for new students and supported by all undergraduate teams to provide a visual point of contact and highlight the student rep process and how to access course teams / personal tutors. Postgraduate teams are delivering online. Regular webinars and Q&A sessions held with continuing students	Increased focus will be on student voice in the course board reports. NSS action plans to be in place early semester 1 following active feedback sessions with HoDs and Deputy Dean. Will identify the top 5 areas to review from student feedback and ensure a comprehensive delivery plan is in place. The digital lead will set up polling to ascertain student views on a weekly basis and feedback results to the staff
Student Outcomes How has activity been designed to ensure awarding gaps are not increased?	Increased focus on personal tutors. Will roll out support guide in time for induction. Culture change has been encouraged to focus on kindness, support, signposting for both academics and students. There is a renewed focus on diversity and equality with a new cross school lead. Primary objective to look at AHP BAME leadership and co- production with de- colonising the curriculum. Have recruited NTF with experience in reducing the attainment gap (0% on his course at UEL).	Recruitment of digital lead. Personal tutors	Purchase of software for use across the school in 3D anatomy and virtual reality for X-ray, CT and MRI. Students will be able to access the software on a range of devices from home. Digital lead will demonstrate best use of the software and examplars. Also the VEO software has been purchased centrally to enable students to have feedback on OSCE assessments which are critical for advanced practice.

	<b>T</b> I I I I I		
Support Progression	The new student self	Advert ready for new	The Foundation Year in
	assessment	placement lead post to	Health and Social Care
How has activity been	questionnaire, in	support students and	has had a revised
designed to support	conjunction with a	strategically look at	timetable with a later
progression?	focused personal tutor	innovations in	start date in October.
progression	session will enable an	placement delivery :	This will enable focused
	ongoing support plan	research, leadership,	support from the course
	and tailored signposting	digital. Key target to	team and allows further
	to resources across the	review student	time for academics to
	university	experience in the BAME	adjust to the new hybrid
	Ongoing engagement	communities whilst on	delivery model. Support
	strategies	placement and to	interventions are
		address these issues.	planned throughout the
			curriculum.
			Revised assessments for
			online delivery. Learning
			shared from semester 2
			to make further
			improvements.

# A2. Academic Delivery 2020/21 – School: Applied Sciences

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall What is the overall approach?	Vision: All students will have around the clock access to learning resourcesMethod:A co-production approach: 'Nothing about you, without you'.Using four methods of co-production: Consultation, Collaboration, Partnership Student-led initiatives Evaluation: Co-produced within frameworkFour task and finish 	Blended Learning: At least 20% F-2-F across most courses: one PG course in Psychology will be online as it has many OS students, is large in numbers and to avoid space pressure for F-2-F delivery on UG courses in psychology. Commissioned Mental Fitness Programme for all staff New bespoke APS Moodle site	Expectations shared with all staff TNA conducted to assess level of expertise in BL components Training, development, coaching and support programme to build expertise Assessment of delivery based upon expectations using T-QUIP approach Systematic evaluation using BL evaluation framework
Student Voice How have students' views informed design?	Two surveys: one by telephone; one by Qualtrics survey assessing needs, experience, skills, satisfaction and challenges using BL Timetabled drop in with the Dean sessions Regular email updates from Dean to all students All plans put on APS website Employed a dedicated student engagement officer	Divisional awaydays including students Regular use of ART to elicit students' views of delivery and organisation Using data from student from central student survey Focus groups with engagement officer Draw down weekly engagement data and rapid feedback to MLs Student preparation video from Dean	Bring forward Course Boards to share information and elicit feedback earlier than usual Sharing ART results immediately to allow fast troubleshooting where possible Co-production of evaluation methods within framework Students co-producing data collection working as partners

Student Outcomes How has activity been designed to ensure awarding gaps are not increased?	This cannot simply be left to School. Need LSBU grp level approach School approaches: Use BL data analytics and regular feedback to students Co-production approach to 'decolonising' curricula	Implementation of TESTA linked to better feedback, assessment outcomes and completion rates School investment in reasonable adjustments 'Decolonising' curriculum project Piloting Block teaching Personal tutoring across all courses Introduction of three Teaching, Learning and technology assistants Step Ahead in Applied Sciences orientation programme BL produces a variety of teaching and learning methods, instead of one size fits all. Additional staff appointments as well rapid replacement of staff who left Portfolio review	Training, development and support of staff Assessment of inter- sectional differences in student responses Equality Impact Assessment of the 'new normal' Agile approach to BL
Support Progression How has activity been designed to support progression?	TESTA Exposure to learning resources around the clock Personal tutoring to enable individual learning needs 24 APS Helpline APS Student Support Centre Robust engagement monitoring and attention No detriment Policy	BL BL approach helpful for student revision if necessary Inclusive Curriculum Framework Implementation of "What works in student retention and success" Updated and new labs with robust technical support Set up 'What If' mtgs' with CDs to plan for future 'emergencies'. Peer mentoring	Use of ART to capture data on student experience Weekly engagement data feedback to MLs Mentoring programme for staff implemented and evaluated Assessment of delivery benchmarked against expectations Systematic evaluation With student progression outcomes as key data capture

Academic delivery contingent on: Robust ICT infrastructure; hardware and software Central and local tangible support to students LSBU Grp revised algorithm for degree classifications: what is the equivalent of lifts and ramps, the single yellow line on the tube and the diagonal crossing in seriously tackling the awarding gap?

## A3. Academic Delivery 2020/21 – School: BEA

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall	Strategy formation and initial	preparations	
What is the overall approach?	Student engagement during Semester 2 2019-20 lockdown period teaching was monitored. The approach is informing what we do in future. A survey of student experiences was undertaken. Over 300 responded. The views were generally positive but teaching approaches and quality varied.	An Online Teaching Strategy for BEA was prepared in March 2020. An Online Teaching Task Force was set up in late March 2020. It undertook needs assessment – for training of both staff and students; equipment; facilities. It also proposed best approaches.	Teaching Task Force organised Workshops on online teaching experiences during the lockdown period, in each division. Four Champions of online teaching have emerged in the school. They have been leading most of the training of staff members.
	All 1 <sup>st</sup> Year FT will have on- campus laboratory or studio sessions. All students will be offered 2 hours per week bookable sessions with academics for any support they require. For a school with a huge proportion of part-time students and apprentices, it was difficult to prepare the plans for this (as students in both categories are only on campus for one day in a week). However, a workable plan has been drawn up.	All academic staff members will be coming onto the campus at least one day per week for 4 hours for the bookable sessions contact with students. Timetables and room details for these have been prepared.	Training in online teaching delivery has been arranged in-house for all staff members. More courses are being planned. The necessary equipment have been provided to staff members, especially where they have special needs. The Champions have prepared a manual on online teaching for staff members.
Student Voice How have students' views informed design?	A survey of students' experience of online teaching in the lockdown period was undertaken. Many lessons and good points for improvement have been drawn up. These have been shared with staff members; and informed the preparation of the online teaching content.	The Induction events for all levels students (including postgraduate research students) have been planned. Different plans have been prepared for apprentices.	Course Boards will be given greater attention during the next semester as internal and NSS surveys show a need for BEA to improve on student voice. Personal Tutor Scheme, set up in 2017, will be strengthened and better used to support students in the new situation.

Student Outcomes How has activity been designed to ensure awarding gaps are not increased?	A student intern with two years experience in the school has been upgraded. She will monitor student engagement and performance. The school's effort to reduce the awarding gap will continue. These include a research project and a PhD study on the gap.	A survey of staff members to find out their needs for support to teach online has been undertaken. The requested items which were approved have been acquired. This has provided staff members with what they need to do high quality online teaching.	Training courses on online teaching delivery have been undertaken (with in-house expertise). The materials presented were recorded, and are available to all staff at any time. More courses are planned.
Support Progression How has activity been designed to support progression?	During the teaching training courses, the challenges to be expected in teaching the next cohorts have been discussed and good ideas shared. For example, staff members have been reminded that first year students would have missed several years of school. Some possible actions have been discussed.	The on-campus teaching arrangements for all students which have been drawn up will enable students preferring face-to-face interaction with lecturers to benefit.	The use of online assessment tools has been taught to the staff members.

- Arrangements are being made to cover the on-campus teaching duties of staff members with Covid-19 vulnerabilities.
- Many students do not have their own computers. The student support scheme will be helpful, but will be another loan the student will have to take up.
- The needs of students and staff living in areas with low bandwidth is being considered.
- A strategic approach will be taken to development of capabilities in the online teaching method. It will be given an international dimension.

## A4. Academic Delivery 2020/21 – School BUS:

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall What is the overall approach?	<ul> <li>UG – 4 hours face to face each week in block</li> <li>All 'deconstructed' lectures on line</li> <li>1 seminar per level per year on line</li> <li>PG – all on line but have extra curricular face to face master classes each week they can chose to attend,</li> <li>There will always be a recorded version of activity available for anything face to face that students cannot attend.</li> <li>Plan B – All on line – easy to flip as no specialist classrooms req.</li> <li>Weekly form time Personal tutor on call 1-1 personal tutoring Employability &amp; placement support</li> </ul>	Staff are working in course teams per level to ensure consistency. Teaching is in only 5 rooms in or near the Business School Building. 3 x TLA's recruited. Staff are in only 1 day a week & deliver in blocks UG students are in for 4 hours a week in block. Stay seated. All Pg online. All Extra curricular & PT on line.	Staff are trained. Work in teams & best practice shared Material is prepped 3 weeks in advance for audit – support in place if not upi to standard Assessment points reduced through TESTA <b>Feedback:</b> MEQs Courseboards Tea with Dean & DESE Personal tutoring Survey Looking out to other institutions – feedback through Chartered Association of Business Schools Network
Student Voice How have students' views informed design?	Feedback from last semester captured through MEQ/ course boards / PT and informal conversation Survey results fed in.	PG all online due to International student fears of coming to the UK There is always an online alternative for all students who do not want to come on campus. Block delivery Out of core hours starting times.	Student feedback has already led to a significant change in assessment through TESTA & we now reap the benefit of a streamlined assessment programme.

Г		_	I
		Greater focus on the	
		consistency of delivery &	
		Moodle presentation	
Student Outcomes			
Student Outcomes	Diagnostic testing in	Increased focus on	Greater use of
	week 1	Moodle presentation	condonement at Board
How has activity been	Life coaching in	Start times out of peak	condonement at board
designed to ensure	curriculum	hours	Possible use of third sits.
awarding gaps are not	1-1 PT	nours	Possible use of third sits.
increased?	Form time		
	Form time	Personal tutor on call	
	•		
		BAME attainment gap	
		work stream established	
Support Progression			
How has activity been	Face to face for all UG	Continual review – staff	Lots of material of
-	Lots pf opportunity for	member appointed to	Moodle to support
designed to support	personal tutoring.	support DESE with	weaker students &
progression?	P	review & feedforward	stretch the stronger.
		process to ensure	streten the strengen
		students are on track &	Links through to Rosie's
			Welcome Moodle site.
		weak students	weicome woodle site.
		identified.	Continuel no. 1
			Continual review of
		Weekly Personal	delivery/ student
		tutoring for a to share	engagement &
		student FAQs & be	adaptation of delivery
		proactive.	based on the results.
		Monitoring of	Continual staff
		attendance &	development & sharing
		engagement and	of best practice
		adjustment of delivery if	
		needed	

## A5. Academic Delivery 2020/21 – School of Law and Social Sciences

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall What is the overall approach?	<ul> <li>Focus on getting students off to best possible start/return; emphasis on community building and getting to know teaching team and answering questions</li> <li>All divisions focusing on induction and reinduction, and making these activities as good as possible recognising the need to connect students to each other and us and get them up to speed again.</li> <li>Full programme of School briefing events to staff around student academic and non- academic support</li> <li>High levels of personal tutor support to include diagnostics and clear focus on progression</li> <li>Embedding of hybrid delivery standards across school to ensure consistency by course and level</li> <li>Tailored online and f2f approach of delivery to part time working students and apprentices</li> <li>Use of graduate digital learning and teaching assistants</li> </ul>	Embedding of hybrid delivery standards across whole School to ensure consistency by course and level Training about and all School adoption of new Moodle Baseline Training of staff on range of technology; sharing of best practice High levels of personal tutor support Tailored online approach of delivery to part time working students and apprentices Use of Aula platform for three specific modules across School – good feedback from staff so far Eg block teaching of core introductory module on Law but need to do it online to ensure the fundamentals are fully established	Full programme of School briefing events to staff Frequent SMT, divisional and CD meetings to understand and address issues as they arise Supportive review of Moodle sites and provision of administrative help to revise Each course to survey students in week 3, responses to be shared with LSS SET

Student Voice How have students' views informed design?	Some courses have conducted informal surveys and all courses have been in touch with School's students. Many students have asked for the continuation of online learning. Need for frequent and clear communication and opportunities to ask for guidance	Real focus in School on how students will be supported to prepare for assessments as much of this would have been undertaken on campus; some will still be so Much of the f2f campus- based delivery will be focussed on academic skills and on preparation for assessment	Online course boards Mid-module evaluation online Use of historic MEQ data (where available) Review by SMT
Student Outcomes How has activity been designed to ensure awarding gaps are not increased?	Full use of new Moodle student engagement analytics – not sure if this can be segmented by student characteristics. High levels of personal tutor support drawing primarily on the work done in our Education Division – personal assessment and follow up	Data will be used to support specific modules and courses showing historic awarding gaps On-going research work in School focussed on decolonising curriculum and in EDI issues within School	Data will be used to support specific modules and courses showing historic awarding gaps Regular training development work with staff. Weekly School Forums over summer and on-going meetings in lead up to new academic year and on- going thereafter Review by SMT
Support Progression How has activity been designed to support progression?	Full use to be made of new Moodle engagement analytic tools alongside usual engagement methods High levels of personal tutor support	Data will be used to support specific modules and courses showing historic progression issues Use of f2f on campus sessions to focus on academic skills and assessment Changes in assessment type and load implemented	Data will be used to support specific modules and courses showing historic progression issues Analysis to be done by student engagement officer Review by SMT

Ready to return fully online if need arises

School made up of four divisions whose approach has been reported separately as appropriate.

Key risks

Staff circumstances and ability to deliver f-2-f teaching on campus – currently working through individual circumstances with HoDs

Multiple delivery of f2f sessions due to social distancing

# A6. Academic Delivery 2020/21 – School of Engineering

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall What is the overall approach?	<ul> <li>School's approach is blended learning using previous content but adapted towards online learning where: <ol> <li>Lectures are delivered online and are generally short (but-sized) and prerecorded to provide flexibility for students to engage with content.</li> <li>Live timetabled sessions are more tutorial based to assist students with problems.</li> <li>Live sessions are additionally recorded to be available post live session to allow students flexibility of access.</li> <li>Variability in oncampus sessions moving from all online or minimum 2 hour per week contact, such as for computing and electrical &amp; electronic engineering to business as usual for some modules with complete workshops for some of mechanical engineering and design courses.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Academic staff have been encouraged to develop better academic delivery for a blended learning environment. Notably a staff workshop was extremely well attended in the summer where external speakers (to the School) shared best practice. Heads of Division clearly identified as key stakeholders in delivery needs and promoting effective delivery. Notable positive culture across these HoDs.	Online delivery is variable due to the nature of the disciplines within the School. Innovations are being used across the division that are bespoke as alternatives to the traditional on-campus labs and workshops that are usually carried out. Examples include moving from hardware labs to software based simulations of labs, posting hardware to students to allow practical work to be performed 'at home' as well as efforts to simply avoid online and deliver labs as normal. Quality assurance is currently poorly defined but is effectively carried out through internal peer review predominantly with the HoD as a lead.

Student Voice			
	Student engagement has	The online survey	Online delivery has supported
How have students'	been delivered through:	provided the most	the flexibility and engagement
views informed	1. An online pizza with	conclusive evidence for	identified to the left.
design?	the Dean, which was	our academic design for	
0.0018111	poorly attended	this year. The other	Maintaining learning outcomes
	compared to the	engagement methods	as defined from accrediting
	physical events that	provided mixed responses	bodies have been attempted
	occur every month. 2. An online survey	and no consensus on the	while online delivery has caused
	that had relatively	best delivery design. The	change.
	good engagement of	three main themes used in	
	approx. 20% of our	design were identified as:	Where laboratory capacity has
	undergraduates.	1. Flexibility – the	allowed, practical sessions under
	3. Feedback through	teaching content	social distancing is maintained.
	module leaders as	was made more	
	standard through the	widely available	Current lack of decisive
	end of year module	with pre-, live and	measures on quality
	reviews.	post availability of online content	
		and improved on	
		the Sem2 2019/20	
		delivery.	
		2. Engagement –	
		live practical	
		sessions are more	
		towards problem	
		solving type	
		tutorials where	
		possible.	
		3. Consistency –	
		difficult to	
		implement across	
		the diversity of	
		subjects delivered but in some cases	
		is simply trying to	
		deliver a course	
		as close to BAU	
		as possible (so	
		closely consistent	
		with previous	
		years) to an	
		online heavy	
		model of factual	
		pre-recorded bite-	
		sized lectures	
		with any live	
		sessions focussed	
		on tutorials or	
		more imaginative	
		practical sessions	
		that can be delivered online.	

Student Outcomes How has activity been designed to ensure awarding gaps are not increased?	Nothing has been specifically developed here to consider the effects of our current plans on awarding gaps. Typical engagement of specific groups has been carried out through extra- curricular activities, such as RoboGals or Women in Engineering. The expectation is that these extra-curricular activities will continue	Extra-curricular activities are usually defined during an open call to allow staff to pitch ideas, sometimes while working with students. The ideas in the form of a proposal are peer reviewed and assessed against criteria such as how awarding gaps could be reduced from the activity.	The current delivery of extra- curricular activities is unclear during the current pandemic but is expected to constitute an additional boundary condition. Extra-curricular activities could be run as online provided the proposals demonstrate the effectiveness of such an approach.
Support Progression How has activity been designed to support progression?	Progression has improved considerably in the School for this year and last, and standard approaches are to continue. This typically includes evaluation of student data to understand modules at risk of poor progression. Pizza with the Dean provides further activities to understand student concerns and is specifically set up to understand student concerns and potential failures in progression as well as NSS. These sessions are moving to online and rebranded as tea with the Dean.	Identification of modules at risk of poor progression leads to dedicated teams (typically, CD, ML, DESE and HoD) that provide an action plan on module improvement. The DESE provides feedback to ensure that the action plan is followed. Personal tutoring has been under review and the recent meeting has identified good practice that I would like to incorporate into this current academic year	As discussed above, the student survey carried out has identified the need for good engagement with students despite moving towards a more online delivery model. The shift towards more bite-sized pre-recorded lectures to deliver factual information while live sessions support problem solving tutorials is designed to assist with progression, especially as students might not be able to simply knock on a lecturer's door to ask for help. The recent meeting has been interesting in identifying further methods to support students, such as a helpline, and I am interested in incorporating this into the School for the current academic year.

Many of the plans above are under considerable stress due to tensions between divisional needs and the capacity, lack of understand and/or lack of clarity on responsibilities for supporting students and staff.

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
Overall	Course directors and	To ensure that students	The University have
	Cohort leaders within the department have	are fully equipped and safe to go out into	invested heavily in online learning
What is the overall	kept in touch with	clinical practice they will	platforms to enable high
approach?	students through online	have both Manual	quality teaching. New
	group and individual	handling and basic life	online pages have been
	chat and will continue to	support training within	developed to support
VISION:	do so in semester 1. The	the skills labs. This will	online teaching and
To provide students	module leaders are	be taught F2F. The	learning and are
To provide students	planning online sessions.	teaching team are	available on the staff
with high quality online and F2F	MS teams, Webx, Webinars etc for	currently planning to deliver all theory to	intranet. Staff with good IT skills have been
	students and the team	students via online	identified from within
teaching in order to prepare them to work	are developing a FAQ list	means for SEM 1. The	the department and
safely and	for students. Staff have	course plans have been	they have agreed to
competently within	also produced a short	revised to reflect this	provide support and
the clinical settings.	video for our Havering	and have been	teaching to colleagues. A
For our teaching to be	campus for our new	scrutinised by our	FAQ is currently being
interesting, engaging	cohort of students in	Academic standards	produced for staff and
and motivating.	order to provide them with a virtual tour of the	committee and by our External examiners.	students.
For Students to feel	campus	External examiners.	Staff are considering
supported by the	Online induction and	We have set up a Cohort	running regular support
university and to	transition activities have	leaders' group to	sessions for students at
maintain a sense of	been planned by the	support new	the beginning of their
belonging	course teams and	developments and to	modules with the aim of
	supported by the central	share good practice.	ironing out any issue's
	communications team	The school also runs	students may have
	are providing a series of interactive to orientate	The school also runs regular Course director's	getting online etc. The team have planned to
	new students and to	information and support	have at least two people
	prepare returning	sessions.	in every big session, one
	students for the next		to deliver the session
	phase of their studies.	Within the department	whilst the other will
	The teaching teams are	we also run a regular Pre	moderate and field
	currently preparing their	registration	online questions. Staff
	teaching. For Sem 1 the theory sessions will be	management Group comprising of Course	will utilise data collected by Moodle to monitor
	taught online and key	Directors, heads of	student engagement
	skills such as MH and	Division, DESE and	
	BLS will be taught in the	support staff. Here we	
	skills labs face to face.	discuss issues pertaining	New templates have
	Risks assessments have	to the course delivery	been rolled out for the
	been performed. We	and the student	VLE to ensure that there
	are also planning to run	experience. Areas of	is consistency across the
	skills teaching on Saturdays and staff are	good practice are discussed for	learning platform, and to ensure that all online
	actively involving	discussed for dissemination within the	learning resources meet
	themselves in this.	divisions.	a baseline standard.
	Teaching staff are		

## A7: Academic Delivery 2020/21 – Dept of Adult Nursing and Midwifery

<b></b>		<b></b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	currently preparing for	The library and learning	The DEL team have been
	induction.	support team are	liaising with staff and
	The deputy dean has a	running online sessions	engaging with staff to
	regular drop in session	across welcome week	support staff skills
	for students and this will	and throughout the	development
	be extended to Coffee	semester to support	
	and chats with the DD	academic skills.	
	once C19 Restrictions		
	are removed/reduced.		
	Cohort leaders and		
	module leaders will also		
	have regular		
	engagement sessions		
	with students. Students		
	will also have access to		
	their personal tutors,		
	and we will continue to		
	provide link lecturer		
	support to our Health		
	care partners. We will		
	also run regular drop in		
	sessions either remotely		
	or F2F within the clinical		
	settings to support our		
	students with their		
	learning, queries and		
	concerns		
	There will be regular		
	student and cohort rep		
	meetings timetabled		
	throughout the year.		
	Each cohort will be		
	invited to elect a rep and		
	regular meetings will be		
	held to gauge the mood		
	and thoughts of the		
	students. These will		
	initially be held online		
Student Voice	Each cohort elects a	The course teams are	The team recognise the
	student rep. Regular	currently developing and	importance of the
How have students'	meeting will be held	refining the induction	student voice and
views informed	with the reps to gauge	for new students. Staff	feedback from Course
design?	the mood and thoughts	have been asked to	boards, link lecturing
	of the students. This will	make short welcome	sessions as well as from
	initially be held online.	videos and a short video	module MEQs will feed
		of our Havering Campus	into the delivery of our
	Our DOO also has	to welcome students.	courses. The team are
	regular meetings with	This is currently at the	already reviewing the
	students.	editing stage.	issues raised through
			this year's NSS and they
	Course reps will also	Current students are	are currently working on
	feed into the Course	being invited to the	an effective action plan
	board meetings.	induction of new	which will be overseen
		starters to provide their	by the HoDs and the
	MEQs and student	views and experiences	Deputy Dean.
	feedback will be used to	of their courses, support	
		,	

			1
	shape future	on placement and on	
	development	line learning.	
	Students have been		
	approached during		
	semester 2 about		
	proposed changes to		
	course delivery e.g.		
	assessment strategies		
	and these have helped		
	to inform changes to the		
	delivery of the		
	curriculum for semester		
	1		
Student Outcomes	Students will be	Staff to attend OD	We are aiming to run
	supported by their PT as	development training.	skills teaching at
How has a study the second	well as the Cohort leads.	acterophicite training.	weekends and the
How has activity been	We are now reviewing	Staff within the dept	school has recently
designed to ensure	the curriculum to ensure	identified to provide	agreed a contract to
awarding gaps are not	that it meets the needs	support and guidance.	allow students access to
increased?	of our students.	DD is currently collating	3D anatomy and
	We will also be	a list of issues staff	physiology software
			which can be accessed
	revalidating the NA	currently facing –	
	curriculum with the aim	hardware, technology	remotely.
	of ensuring better	utility issues etc.	We are also planning to
	progression and success		run clinical sessions F2F
	rates.	Once list is collated	
		measures will be put in	
	We will act upon	place to mitigate	
	feedback from course	problems and support	
	boards.	staff.	
Support Progression	Students will be	Staff will provide	The Foundation Year for
	provided ongoing	support in clinical areas	students entering
How has activity been	support from	as well as signposting	Nursing and midwifery
designed to support	Personal tutors. Cohort	students to wider	now has a later start.
progression?	leaders and Course	university assistance.	This is to enable the
	directors.		team to provide further
		Staff identified to	support and guidance to
	Students will also be	support staff with new	students. The team have
	signposted to wider	technology	revised the assessments
	university support and		and they have planned
	assistance.		Further interventions to
			enhance the support
	Students have also been		offered throughout the
	consulted about the		curriculum.
	proposed alterations to		
	their course plans,		
	assessment strategies		
	and teaching delivery to		
	allow for student		
	progression at the		
	summer exams into the		
	next year of their		
	programme. Feedback		
	from students on forums		
			•

has been favourable and appreciative of what the Course Teams have organised to enable them to progress.		
--	--	--

It is recognised by the team that Sem 1 is clearly going to be challenge. Staff and students will need to get to grips with the new technology. Not all staff within the Dept have the full function of the software. for example, some staff are unable to schedule meetings from their computers. A significant number of Computers within the school are old and slow. Some staff feel that they are unprepared, and the training provided whilst useful needed to have been provided earlier and at more regular intervals. My personal feeling is that it will be a large learning curve for all. Some staff are becoming stressed as their whole was of working has been turned upside down.

	Student Engagement	Academic Delivery and Organisation	Online Delivery and Quality Assurance
<b>Overall</b> What is the overall approach?	Development of additional comms channel for all students across the School (SLACK). Training for all staff. Augmented ICT services allowing for remote working for 3D design and rendering and other complex tasks. (testing underway)	Offer students one day a week on campus – focus on studio based activities and community building activities. Each course has tailor-made activities depending on discipline. 12 Learning and Teaching Assistants and Interns from graduating cohort to support campus and online delivery. Two kit rooms to facilitate equipment loans.	School based training via lunch and learns, Learning and Teaching Away Day and centre based activities (CRIT/DEL). Course Specification Addendums completed outlining the intended approach to learning and teaching.
Student Voice How have students' views informed design?	Tea with Deans (course reps) and student surveys have been completed since March to solicit student opinion. Course Directors have regular communications with students via their course specific comms channels. NSS action plans developed with designated 3 <sup>rd</sup> year NSS leads with timetable remission.	Course Directors meeting weekly with students to discuss and set expectations for academic delivery. Slack will provide regular opportunities for students and academic staff to communicate. Newly enhanced virtual PT system launching Personal tutors with more than 30 students assigned will be allocated extra work load units.	The new Course Monitoring process requiring CDs to complete analysis of key data in real time across the academic year will be used to ensure quality maintained. NSS reporting monthly. You said we did activity.
Student Outcomes How has activity been designed to ensure awarding gaps are not increased?	Inclusivity continues to frame our approach to the curriculum. Highlighted in Learning and Teaching sessions with staff. Barriers to attainment include access to equipment – addressed by laptop purchasing scheme/also School Ioan scheme.	In staff appraisal KPIs focus on addressing awarding gaps. Continue with Creative Access mentoring scheme (focus on Film Practice & Drama). EDI outcome in all staff appraisals	Strategy for addressing awarding gaps also embedded in Action Plans in Course Monitoring. External organisation Creative Access contracted to work with at reiak groups with mentoring and employment enhance ment schemes.

## A8. Academic Delivery 2020/21 – School: Arts and Creative Industries

Support Progression	Induction programmes	Courses designed to run	Course Specification
	designed to develop	on one day a week on	Addendums completed
How has activity been	cohort identity.	campus with a back up	which allow for students
designed to support	Slack channels to enable	plan for remote delivery	to be placed either on
• • • • •	students to build	should Govt advice	campus/fully remote
progression?	relationships with rest of	change.	delivery.
	cohort.	Assessment developed	Work within existing
	Colleagues using virtual	to scaffold student	Extenuating
	channels to create a	attainment across L4	Circumstances/Student
	series of innovative	and into L5.	Health Questionnaire
	learning and social tasks	Colleagues adopting a	framework to identify
	online.	fair, flexible and	students who will shift
		forgiving attitude	to remote delivery.
	Personal tutor system	towards engagement	
	enhanced so that	backed up by frequent	
	students receive	small group PT sessions.	
	effective pastoral	1:1 PT sessions offered	
	support. Increased	each week on demand.	
	allocation on		
	workload.		

<u>Critical dependencies for September 21<sup>st</sup> teaching</u> not yet in place include lab reconfigurations and remote access to software licences. Action: ICT/TSS and School

This page is intentionally left blank